

- Determining source parameters of moderate-size earthquakes from regional waveforms, *Phys. Earth and Planet. Inter.*, **30**, 185-196, 1982.
- Wang, C.-Y., Wave theory for seismogram synthesis, Ph.D. Dissertation, Saint Louis University, Saint Louis, Missouri, 1981.
- Wang, C.-Y., and R. B. Herrmann, A numerical analysis of P-, SV-, and SH-wave generation in a plane layered medium, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **70**, 1015-1036, 1980.
- Ward, S. N., Ringing P waves and submarine faulting, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **84**, 3057-3062, 1979.
- Ward, S. N., Body wave calculations using moment tensor sources in spherically symmetric, inhomogeneous media, *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.*, **60**, 53-66, 1980.
- Ward, S. N., A technique for the recovery of the seismic moment tensor applied to Oaxaca, Mexico earthquake of November 1978, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **70**, 717-734, 1980.
- Ward, S. N., Relationships of tsunami generation and an earthquake source, *J. Phys. Earth*, **28**, 441-474, 1980.
- Ward, S. N., A technique for the recovery of the seismic moment tensor applied to the Oaxaca, Mexico earthquake of November 1978, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **70**, 717-734, 1980.
- Ward, S. N., On elastic wave calculations in a sphere using moment tensor sources, *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.*, **66**, 23-30, 1981.
- Ward, S. N., Simplified bodywave source terms with one application in moment tensor recovery, *Identification of Seismic Sources - Earthquake or Underground Explosion*, E. S. Huseby and S. Nykkeltveit, eds., D. Reidel Publ. Co., Boston, 269-272, 1981.
- Ward, S. N., On tsunami nucleation. I. A point source, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **86**, 7895-7900, 1981.
- Ward, S. N., On tsunami nucleation. II. An instantaneous modulated line source, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, **27**, 273-285, 1982.
- Ward, S. N., Earthquake mechanisms and tsunami generation: the Kurile Islands event of 13 October 1963, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **72**, 759-777, 1982.
- Weertman, J., Inherent instability of quasi-static creep slippage on a fault, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **64**, 2146-2152, 1979.
- Weertman, J., Unstable slippage across a fault that separates elastic media of different elastic constants, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **85**, 1455-1461, 1980.
- Weglein, A. B., W. E. Boyse, and J. E. Anderson, Obtaining three-dimensional velocity information directly from reflection seismic data: An inverse scattering formalism, *Geophys.*, **46**, 1166-1120, 1981.
- White, J. E., Computed waveforms in transversely isotropic media, *Geophys.*, **47**, 771-783, 1982.
- White, R. S., and R. A. Stephen, Compression to shear wave conversion in oceanic crust, *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.*, **63**, 547-565, 1980.
- Widess, M. B., Quantifying resolving power of seismic systems, *Geophys.*, **47**, 1160-1173, 1982.
- Winkler, L., Catalog of U.S. earthquakes before the year 1850, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **69**, 569-602, 1979.
- Wong, H. L., Effect of surface topography on the diffraction of P, SV, and Rayleigh waves, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **72**, 1167-1183, 1982.
- Woodhouse, J. H., The coupling and attenuation of nearly resonant multiplets in the Earth's free oscillation spectrum, *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.*, **61**, 261-283, 1980.
- Woodhouse, J. H., Efficient and stable methods for performing seismic calculations in stratified media, *Physics of the Earth's Interior*, Course LXXVIII, A. Dziewonski and E. Boschi, eds., North Holland Publ. Co., New York, 127-151, 1980.
- Woodhouse, J. H., A note on the calculation of travel times in a transversely isotropic Earth model, *Phys. Earth and Planet. Inter.*, **5**, 357-359, 1981.
- Woodhouse, J. H., The excitation of long period seismic waves by a source spanning a structural discontinuity, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **8**, 1129-1131, 1981.
- Woodhouse, J. H., The joint inversion of seismic waveforms for lateral variations in the earth's structure and earthquake source parameters, *Physics of the Earth's Interior*, Course LXXXV, H. Kanamori and E. Boschi, eds., North Holland Publ. Co., New York, in press, 1982.
- Woodhouse, J. H., and T. P. Girnius, Surface waves and free oscillations in a regionalized earth model, *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.*, **68**, 653-673, 1982.
- Wyatt, K. D., Synthetic vertical seismic profile, *Geophys.*, **46**, 880-891, 1981.
- Yacoub, N. K., Seismic yield estimates from Rayleigh-wave source radiation pattern, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **71**, 1269-1286, 1981.
- Yilmaz, O., and J. F. Claerbout, Prestack partial migration, *Geophys.*, **45**, 1753-1779, 1980.
- Yuen, D. A., and W. R. Peltier, Normal modes of the viscoelastic earth, *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.*, **69**, 495-526, 1982.
- Yuen, D. A., R. Sabadini, and E. V. Boschi, Viscosity of the lower mantle as inferred from rotational data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **87**, 10,745-10,762, 1982.

(Received November 8, 1982;
accepted April 29, 1983.)

REVIEWS OF GEOPHYSICS AND SPACE PHYSICS, VOL. 21, NO. 6, PAGES 1308-1318, JULY 1983
U.S. NATIONAL REPORT TO INTERNATIONAL UNION OF GEODESY AND GEOPHYSICS 1979-1982

STRONG-MOTION SEISMOLOGY

David M. Boore

U. S. Geological Survey, 345 Middlefield Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025

Although this is the first review on this topic to appear in a quadrennial report, the roots of strong-motion seismology extend back to at least 1932, when far-sighted engineers in the Seismological Field Survey of the U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey installed rugged, fieldworthy instruments designed to make on-scale recordings of large earthquakes (Carder, 1964); these instruments are called accelerographs, for their output closely mimics ground acceleration (Hudson, 1979). The original instruments and their offspring have provided a wealth of information about ground motions of direct use to engineers. Due in large part to the continued devotion of the Seismological Field Survey (now part of the Branch of Engineering Seismology and Geology at the U. S. Geological Survey), the number of recordings has increased substantially, particularly in the last two decades, and multiple recordings of a few California earthquakes have provided the data necessary to begin to unravel the complexities of the ground motions and to predict these motions on an empirical basis. Although seismologists used accelerograph records in studies of earthquake energy and ground motion attenuation as long ago as 1942 (Gutenberg and Richter, 1942, 1956), widespread seismological use of the records began with Aki's (1968) analysis of the 1966 Parkfield earthquake. The field of strong-motion seismology has been especially vigorous since 1971, when the San Fernando, California, earthquake produced close to 100 on-scale records of the ground motion within 150 km of the faulting. The rapid growth of the field in the last decade was helped by the social concern with earthquake hazard reduction and by regulatory processes intended to protect the environment and the population from the failure of such engineered structures as nuclear power plants and large dams.

This paper is not subject to U. S. copyright. Published in 1983 by the American Geophysical Union.

Paper number 3R0397.

A major task of strong-motion seismology is the study and prediction of potentially damaging ground shaking; practically speaking, this means predictions of ground motion within several tens of kilometers from earthquakes with moments larger than about 3×10^{23} dyne-cm. To do this requires a truly interdisciplinary approach, with contributions from both seismologists and engineers working on subjects as diverse as theoretical models of crack propagation and experimental nonlinear soil behavior. Because so many different areas of research pertain to strong-motion seismology, this review has been difficult to organize and has resulted in a voluminous bibliography, of which only a fraction of the papers will be specifically cited. The paper begins with a review of data acquisition and processing, followed by studies based on empirical analyses of strong-motion data. These include investigations of the character of strong motion, such as the correlation between components and the prediction from regression studies of strong ground motion as a function of source size and distance from source to site. After this will be a number of topics related to the prediction of strong ground motion, following the usual order of source, propagation path, and site response. Consideration of these topics forms the bulk of the review. The final section deals briefly with the important and difficult problem of prediction of ground motion in the central and eastern United States, where few recordings of motions from damaging earthquakes are available. Because the emphasis in this review is on the prediction of strong ground motion, references to modeling studies using accelerograms from specific earthquakes are not given in a separate section but are distributed throughout the text when their conclusions are relevant to the topic under consideration. In fact, most of them are collected in the subsection of source studies dealing with estimation of source properties.

Because my charge was to review the field from 1979-1982, I have ignored the many contributions made previously (the bulk of which were made between 1971 and 1979). An excellent guide to the

earlier literature (and the current literature as well) is the *Abstract Journal of Earthquake Engineering* (R. C. Denton, ed.), published by the Earthquake Engineering Center of the University of California at Berkeley.

This review has ignored seismological work related to the estimation of rupture length, magnitude, and recurrence times for future earthquakes. Although of great importance in the reduction of earthquake hazards, these topics more properly belong in a review of risk and seismicity (to have been included in this volume).

Data Acquisition and Processing

Instrumentation and arrays

Of the more than 2700 strong motion accelerographs distributed throughout the United States, most are in buildings and dams in California (Iwan, 1981). About 630 instruments are deployed for the purpose of measuring ground motions that are as free as possible from structural effects (Rojahn and Borchardt, 1982). About 40% of these are part of the strong-motion instrumentation program of the California Division of Mines and Geology (Wootton, 1980). The most important data to be gathered from these instruments during the reporting period were from the 1979 Coyote Lake, 1979 Imperial Valley, and 1980 Livermore Valley earthquakes, all in California (Porcella *et al.*, 1979; Brady *et al.*, 1980; and McJunkin and Ragsdale, 1980a, 1980b). A good source of data availability is the series of Seismic Engineering Program reports published as U. S. Geological Survey Circulars—e. g., Porcella (1982). Compilations of many data are given by Crouse *et al.* (1980) and Lee *et al.* (1980). Information about accelerograph stations within the western hemisphere is contained in Switzer *et al.* (1981).

Although accelerographs are a rich source of seismological data, full use of the records was hampered by the lack of absolute time and the few number of recordings from any one earthquake. During the last decade, these concerns have been ameliorated to a large extent. Radio time or crystal clocks are being incorporated into the instruments, and increasing attention is being paid to installation (McJunkin, 1979), array or network design from a seismological perspective, and digital recording. Although a number of these matters were considered before 1979 (e.g., Dielman *et al.*, 1975), some important developments have taken place since then. A network of 94 accelerographs has been installed in and around the Los Angeles Basin. This network—a cooperative venture of the University of Southern California (funded by the National Science Foundation) and the California Division of Mines and Geology—will augment existing stations for source studies and provide information about wave propagation in laterally heterogeneous materials (Anderson *et al.*, 1981). On a different scale, recordings have been obtained from small, special purpose arrays. A linear, 300-m long array composed of 6 digital accelerographs near El Centro, California (Bycroft, 1980) produced valuable records of the 1979 Imperial Valley earthquake that are being used to infer the rupture history of the fault (Niazi, 1982a; Spudich and Cranswick, 1982), and an array of 37 digital instruments, installed within a circle of 2 km radius in northern Taiwan, has recorded earthquakes up to magnitude 6.9. Frequency-wavenumber array processing of the data from the Taiwan array indicated that the strong ground motion in the 0.5 to 2 Hz frequency band contained coherent energy propagating at velocities consistent with P, S, and Rayleigh waves (Bolt, Loh, Penzien, Tsai, and Yeh, 1982).

The need for recordings close to large earthquakes can best be met by installing instruments throughout the world. In recognition of this, an international workshop on the deployment of strong-motion arrays was held in Hawaii in 1978 (Iwan, 1979) and special arrays or networks in addition to networks already in existence are now in operation or construction in most seismically active countries, including Italy, China, Japan, Mexico, Turkey, Yugoslavia, India, and Canada.

Record Processing

The 1971 San Fernando, California, earthquake produced a flood of analog records that took several years to hand-digitize and process.

This experience and the certainty that with the increasing number of instruments the problem will be worse in future earthquakes has led to the development of automatic digitizing procedures. Two types of digitizers are available, both using film records: a laser-based digitizer that follows a given trace (Brady *et al.*, 1982; Porter, 1982), and a fast-scan drum photodensitometer that uses computer processing to reconstruct a given trace (Lee and Trifunac, 1979; Trifunac and Lee, 1979). Processing of the digital records involves removal of high frequency noise, corrections for instrument response and unknown baselines, integration to produce ground velocity and displacement, and computation of Fourier and response spectra (the latter being a plot of the maximum response of an imaginary single-degree-of-freedom oscillator of fixed damping as a function of the free period of the oscillator; to the extent that a building can be approximated as a simple oscillator, this plot gives a direct estimate of the forces in a building produced by ground shaking with the given acceleration time series.). Although the basic processing techniques were established before 1979, improvements continue to be made. Current research is centered on assessing errors from digitization (Shoja-Taheri, 1980a), and on applying modern signal-processing techniques (Ehrenberg and Hernandez, 1981; Raugh, 1981; Khemici and Shah, 1982; Shyam Sunder and Connor, 1982).

Empirical Studies of Strong Ground Motion

Characteristics

Various ways of characterizing strong ground motion are used for engineering applications and for purposes of comparing with theoretical simulations. For example, Perez (1980, 1982) has characterized the response spectra by the number of cycles sustained above given amplitude levels, and Haldar and Tang (1981) have derived a way of relating an acceleration time history to an equivalent number of uniform cycles. The relations between the three components of recorded motion at a station have been studied by Kubo and Penzien (1979a) and Huang (1982), who constructed a tensor of covariances, and then at every time increment found three orthogonal axes, (the principal axes), such that the covariance between the components was zero. Analysis of the 1971 San Fernando earthquake accelerographs by Kubo and Penzien (1979a) showed a correlation between the major axis and the direction to the fault, indicating more order in the polarization of the accelerographs than might be supposed at first glance. Along the same lines, O'Rourke *et al.* (1982) derived angles of incidence from the polarization of the S-waves and then used this, in combination with a knowledge of local shear velocity, to derive the apparent propagation velocity of S waves at the station. Considering the number of potential problems with this technique, they found very reasonable numbers—2.1 km/s and 3.7 km/s for the 1971 San Fernando and 1979 Imperial Valley earthquakes, respectively. These velocities are consistent with body wave propagation and indicate that even close to earthquakes with faulting near the surface, little of the high-frequency motion is due to fundamental-mode surface waves. For the engineer the measured velocities are important, for they imply that at frequencies of engineering interest, the horizontal wavelengths of the ground motion will greatly exceed the dimensions of most structures. A theoretical study by Luco and Sotiropoulos (1980) arrived at the same conclusion.

Also of interest to seismologists attempting to synthesize strong-motion records are the various studies describing the random-looking acceleration time series in terms of probability distributions. Hanks and McGuire (1981) showed that taken as a whole, the motion has a Gaussian distribution. Mortgat (1979), and following him, Zsutty and DeHerrera (1979) and DeHerrera and Zsutty (1982), found that the peaks could be described by either gamma or exponential probability distribution functions. Given the essentially random ground accelerations, it is perhaps surprising that a strong correlation exists between the peak ground acceleration (that is, the largest extremum in a time series) and the integral-square measure of the motion given by the root-mean-square (McGuire and Hanks, 1980; Hanks and McGuire, 1981). In fact, using the root-mean-square in regression against distance for data from the 1971 San Fernando earthquake leads to no

significant reduction of scatter relative to regressions using the peak accelerations as the dependent variable (Bond *et al.*, 1980; McCann, 1980; McCann and Boore, 1982). This could be explained if the scatter in the regressions on distance were due to random, site dependent multiplicative factors.

Attenuation relations

The earthquake loads for which a structure is to be designed are usually specified by one or two measures of the ground motion, the most common being the peak ground acceleration (pga). The estimation of pga almost always is based on attenuation equations derived from regressions of observed motions against earthquake size and distance from source to site. Because of their importance, these regression equations have received much attention and are updated when new data become available. Boore and Joyner (1982) reviewed the techniques used and some of the recent results, while Shakal and Bernreuter (1981) and Toro (1981) discussed some of the biases that might arise if the dependent and independent parameters are not selected with care. In the reporting period of 1979-82, the 1979 Imperial Valley earthquake was an important milestone, for it greatly enhanced the data set for large earthquakes at close distances (i.e., less than 15 km). Boore *et al.* (1980) published a statistical analysis of peak acceleration, velocity, and displacement, and Espinosa (1979) and Boore (1980) derived attenuation curves for peak velocity based on a strong correlation between peak velocity and the peak response of a Wood-Anderson seismograph (thus tying peak velocity to Richter local magnitudes, M_L ; see also Luco, 1982). Boore and Porcella (1980) showed that data from the 1979 Imperial Valley earthquake (and a few other recent earthquakes) were well predicted by the earlier study of Boore *et al.* (1980) in the 15 km-100 km distance range. Comprehensive regression analyses including the new data were reported by Campbell (1981b) and Joyner and Boore (1981). Although the basic assumptions regarding the regression model and the constitution of the data set were different, the results of these two studies differed by less than 35% in a range of magnitudes from 5.5 to 7.5 and distances from less than 1 km to 50 km (Boore and Joyner, 1982). In spite of this, controversy exists in the use of the results, especially close to large earthquakes where there are few data to guide the predictions (Bolt and Abrahamson, 1982; Donovan, 1982a, 1982b). The key issue is whether the shape of the attenuation curves depends on magnitude. Boore and Joyner (1982) showed that the data cannot distinguish between magnitude-dependent and magnitude-independent shapes. Another issue sometimes raised is whether the spacing between the curves, for equal increments of magnitude, decreases at large magnitudes (an effect known as "saturation"). Campbell's magnitude-dependent shape implies saturation at close distances, but neither Campbell (1981b) nor Joyner and Boore (1981) found evidence for saturation at large distances.

The uncertainties in ground motion predictions can be as large as a factor of two; they are due to many things: variations in source properties, propagation path, and local site response. Several studies have investigated the latter, using ground motion data recorded at several stations in close proximity. Using data from the differential array in El Centro, California, Niazi (1982b) and Smith *et al.* (1982) found that most variation in the peak ground acceleration was due to variations in the high-frequency components, as expected. King and Tucker (1982) found the same thing, and showed that the cross-covariance in the motions deteriorates most rapidly with station spacing for arrays sited in regions with large changes in sediment thickness. McCann and Boore (1982) found variations of a factor of 1.3 in peak ground accelerations recorded within a small (1 km radius) area during the 1971 San Fernando earthquake.

Although the design forces are estimated from peak measures of ground motion, the process is circuitous and involves assumptions about the shape of the response spectrum. A better technique is the direct estimation of response spectra by doing new regressions at a series of incrementally-spaced oscillator frequencies (Cornell *et al.*, 1979). This has been done by Joyner and Boore (1982a, 1982b) for the data set used in their 1981 paper.

The duration of the strong shaking of an earthquake is thought to be an important parameter in structural response and damage and therefore has received some attention. The main difficulty seems to be in agreeing on a definition of duration with engineering significance (McGuire and Barnhard, 1979). Recent definitions are based on cumulative integral-square measures of ground motion (McCann and Shah, 1979; Westermo and Trifunac, 1979; Vanmarcke and Lai, 1980).

Factors in Strong Ground Motion Prediction

As noted earlier, it is logical to separate the many elements needed for the prediction of strong motion into the source, the propagation path, and the site response. These are large categories, however, and so further subdivision is needed.

Source

In this review, three categories of source studies are considered. The first includes modeling studies that determine the source parameters controlling high-frequency motion, such as variations in stress or strength along the fault and rupture velocity determinations. The second category deals with observational and theoretical studies of the effects of finite-size faults relative to the point source approximation commonly used in studies of teleseismic waves. The last category includes mostly theoretical studies aimed at describing the space-time dependence of the slip across the fault surface. (A useful collection of papers on these subjects is U. S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 82-591: Proceedings of Workshop XVI on The Dynamic Characteristics of Faulting Inferred From Recordings of Strong Ground Motion [J. Boatwright, editor and organizer].)

One of the most important developments in the understanding of the earthquake source in the last four years, at least as far as strong motion seismology is concerned, is the documentation of the complexity of earthquake rupture. This complexity can be due to geometrical complexities in the fault plane or heterogeneities in the fault strength or tectonic stress. Whatever the cause, an effect is to produce acceleration or deceleration of the rupture front and this in turn radiates high frequencies. Fault complexity has been suspected for some time as the only reasonable explanation of the frequency-magnitude relation, multiple events, and random-appearing ground acceleration (Hanks, 1979a; Nur and Israel, 1980). It has been found in studies of the teleseismic radiation from large earthquakes (Butler *et al.*, 1979; Stewart and Kanamori, 1982) and in studies of recent moderate earthquakes near Friuli, Italy (Cipar, 1981) and California (Hartzell and Brune, 1979; Wallace, Helmberger, and Ebel, 1981; Ebel and Helmberger, 1982; Hartzell and Helmberger, 1982; Olson and Apsel, 1982). All of the studies of the California earthquakes used accelerograph data, sometimes in combination with teleseismic data. A common finding is that small areas of high stress drop are embedded in larger, low stress drop areas. The high-frequency radiation comes from these small areas, although they contribute only a fraction of the total seismic moment.

Even if the rupture were simple, the spread of rupture at a finite speed over the fault surface can lead to destructive interference of the radiated waves and large azimuthal variations in the amplitudes of the waves. This effect, termed "directivity", has been recognized in the long-period radiation from major earthquakes for almost thirty years (see Benioff, 1955). Theoretical and modeling studies show directivity to be an important factor in near-fault ground motions; in general, the predicted amplitudes are much larger in the direction of fault rupture. This apparent focusing of the radiated energy can occur in a narrow range of azimuths, and the azimuthal range and the amplitude of the waves are strong functions of the ratio of the rupture velocity to the shear wave velocity (Hartzell and Archuleta, 1979; Archuleta and Hartzell, 1981). Until recently, observational evidence at frequencies of concern to engineers has been lacking. Now it has been recognized from moderate earthquakes at frequencies from less than 1 Hz to over 10 Hz (Heaton and Helmberger, 1979; Bakun and McEvilly, 1981). Singh (1982) and Niazi (1982a) found directivity in the ground velocities, but not the ground accelerations, produced by the 1979 Imperial Valley earthquakes. Complicated

faulting, with corrugations in the fault plane and bilateral rupture on strong patches may destroy the coherence needed to produce a strong directivity effect at higher frequencies. This need not always be true, however; Boatwright and Boore (1982) found strong directivity in the ground accelerations radiated by two earthquakes near Livermore Valley, California.

Because of its strong theoretical influence on directivity, rupture velocity is an important source property in the prediction of strong ground motion. Determinations of rupture velocity from a few stations at teleseismic distances are often nonunique—the observed source process time is made up both of the time taken for fault slip to occur and the time it takes for the rupture to propagate along the fault. Analysis of accelerograms distributed around a fault provide a much better experiment for the determination of rupture velocity. For example, the directivity observed by Boatwright and Boore (1982) could only be explained by a rupture velocity greater than about 0.7 times the shear wave velocity. Similar values for the rupture velocity have been reported for the 1971 San Fernando earthquake by Heaton (1982) and, using a variety of techniques, for the 1979 Imperial Valley earthquake by Archuleta (1982a), Niazi (1982a), and Spudich and Cranswick (1982). The latter study is particularly interesting, for it was based on the observed propagation velocity across the short differential array near the fault (Bycroft, 1980, 1982) and is as close as we are likely to come for some time to a direct measurement of the rupture velocity.

Olson and Apsel (1982) also found high rupture velocities for the Imperial Valley earthquake—in fact, they found velocities near the compressional wave speed for propagation over a 20 to 30 km section of fault. Almost all earlier studies of rupture velocity in earthquakes have used an implicit constraint that the rupture velocity was sub-shear. A number of recent theoretical and numerical studies, however, showed that super-shear rupture propagation is possible if the cohesive strength is small. Day (1982b) found that a fault with strength variations may have locally super-shear rupture speeds, but that the stress heterogeneities serve to reduce the average rupture to speeds less than the shear wave velocity. This agrees with the various modeling studies of the Imperial Valley earthquake.

Of possible importance in the prediction of strong motion is the demonstration by Lindh and Boore (1981) and Shoja-Taheri (1980b) that the starting and stopping of the rupture during the 1966 Parkfield, California, earthquake occurred at places corresponding to changes in trend of the surface trace of the San Andreas fault. Furthermore, Bakun (1980) and Bakun *et al.* (1980) have found correlations between seismic activity and surface fault-trace geometry. These studies suggest that surface mapping of fault traces may be used to estimate the degree and location of heterogeneities controlling the radiation of high frequencies in future earthquakes.

Before leaving the realm of modeling earthquake seismograms, I wish to draw attention to Heaton's recent paper on the 1971 San Fernando earthquake (Heaton, 1982). This is his second attempt at modeling records from this event (the first was Heaton and Helmberger, 1979). He found that his previous model, based on accelerograms, did not agree with the teleseismic records, and that his new model is different from one based on teleseismic recordings (Langston, 1978). The lesson is that much nonuniqueness may exist in the source properties derived from a limited data set; it is important to use all available data in the inversion process. Teleseismic records complement near-source accelerograms, for they contain information radiated at different takeoff angles from the source region and at different frequencies.

The realization that heterogeneities in fault properties can significantly influence the high-frequency radiation has led to a number of theoretical and numerical studies of the characterization of the heterogeneities and their influence on the fault slip and radiated energy. These studies range from kinematic or quasidynamic models (Aki, 1979; Andrews, 1981; Boatwright, 1981; Papageorgiou and Aki, 1982a; Swanger, 1982) to models with spontaneous rupture propagation (Das and Richards, 1979; Das, 1980, 1981; Day, 1982b). In agreement with earlier crack studies, Day (1982b) found that abrupt jumps in rupture velocity occur in regions having sharp changes in prestress (i.e., the rupture front has no inertia); high frequencies are radiated by these jumps in rupture velocity (Harris and Achenbach, 1981; Boatwright, 1982a; Madariaga, 1982). Israel and Nur (1979) ar-

gued that heterogeneities in faulting are largely due to nonuniform fault strength; variable tectonic stress would be smoothed out by continued faulting.

Predictions of ground motion often requires a knowledge of how source properties or spectra of radiated energy scale with earthquake size. Scholz (1982a) demonstrated that fault slip is proportional to fault length. Two models were proposed to explain this observation, and their predictions of strong ground motion are very different. In one, rise time was fixed and therefore stress drop and peak ground acceleration increase with fault length. The model at the other extreme assumed a constant stress drop and therefore rise times that are proportional to fault length; in this model the peak ground acceleration increases much more slowly than before, going as the square root of the logarithm of fault length (Scholz, 1982b).

In a study using recordings of mine tremors as well as strong-motion records from moderate and large earthquakes, McGarr *et al.* (1981) found that peak velocity scales well with earthquake size and that peak acceleration was not as predictable. The results indicated, for peak velocity at least, that the same scaling can be used for an extremely large range of source sizes—radii from about 8 m to 50,000 m.

The size of earthquakes used in attenuation relations is usually expressed by magnitude. This can lead to confusion, for many magnitude scales exist (Nuttli and Herrmann, 1982) and most reach a limiting value as the physical size (as measured by the moment) of the earthquakes increase. For this reason, among others, the attenuation equations of Joyner and Boore (1981) use the moment magnitude (defined by Hanks and Kanamori, 1979) as one of the independent variables. A criticism of this is that for large earthquakes the seismic moment depends on wave periods much greater than those of engineering interest; implicit in the use of moment magnitude is the assumption that the spectra for most earthquakes scale with moment in the same way. This is not always the case. In some earthquakes the derived moment increases with period for periods considerably beyond the usual corner frequency (Boore *et al.*, 1981; Buland and Taggart, 1981). Furthermore, according to Nuttli (1981b, 1982) the scaling relations, as inferred from various moment-magnitude relations, are different for mid-plate and plate-margin earthquakes. He found, for example, that two earthquakes with a body wave magnitude of 7 can differ in moment by a factor of 100. (Source parameters from recordings within 10 km of small earthquakes in Arkansas and New Brunswick, however, are inconsistent with the parameters from the eastern and central United States earthquakes plotted in Nuttli [1983]—parameters inferred from recordings tens to hundreds of km from the sources. A similar inconsistency has been documented by Munguía-Orozco [1983], for earthquakes in Baja California.)

Several studies have concluded that the type of faulting can influence strong ground motion. Campbell (1981b) reported that accelerations from reverse faults are systematically about 20% to 30% higher on the average than those from other fault types. This conclusion was based on oft-times sparsely recorded events from many geographic regions, and therefore it awaits verification from future recordings. Campbell's findings, however, are consistent with theoretical studies by Anderson and Luco (1982) and McGarr (1982b). The former found an increase in motions above thrust faults, and the latter proposed upper bounds on peak acceleration ($2g$ for reverse faulting; $0.4g$ for normal faulting), from considerations of the state-of-stress in an Earth in which faulting is controlled by the frictional strength of preexisting cracks; these upper limits can be exceeded if local site effects amplify the motion, or if faulting occurs in intact rock.

Propagation path

The studies of propagation path relevant to the prediction of strong ground motion include measurements of the quality factor Q and theoretical wave propagation in heterogeneous media. In a series of papers, Aki has used the direct shear-wave and the coda (later arriving energy not attributed to simple direct, reflected, or refracted energy) to measure the attenuation of waves from small and moderate earthquakes (Aki, 1980a, 1980b, 1981a, 1981b, 1981c, 1982a). At frequencies above about 1 to 3 Hz, he found that attenua-

tion decreases as frequency to a power between 0 and -1 , with a peak in attenuation near 1 to 3 Hz. Other studies corroborated the decrease in attenuation with increasing frequency (Mitchell, 1980, 1981; Roecker *et al.*, 1982; Singh *et al.*, 1982). The peak in attenuation is not always seen, however, and Pulli and Aki (1981) suggested that it only exists in tectonically active areas.

The implications of a frequency-dependent attenuation for strong ground motion predictions have not been fully explored. The effort in predicting theoretical wave motions has focused on the effects of layering instead (Bouchon, 1979a, 1979b, 1981; Geller *et al.*, 1979; Herrmann, 1979; Wong and Trifunac, 1979b; Archuleta and Day, 1980; Dravinski, 1980; Harvey, 1981; Herrmann and Goertz, 1981; Kamel and Felsen, 1981; Kausel and Peek, 1982; Niver *et al.*, 1982). Large velocity contrasts can significantly modify the amplitudes of the waves (Bouchon, 1979b) and layering introduces considerable complexity into the waveforms, especially at large distances relative to the source depth (Wang and Herrmann, 1980).

Site response

It has been known for well over a century that local geology can significantly affect the ground motions at a site. Recent work has emphasized the physical understanding of the effects so that quantitative predictions can be made. Site response, usually in the form of amplifications of up to an order of magnitude on soft sediments relative to bedrock motions, have been recognized in waves ranging from small motions at teleseismic distances (Butler and Ruff, 1980), to regional phases (Barker *et al.*, 1981), to strong motions near earthquakes (Joyner *et al.*, 1981; Chang, 1982; Joyner and Boore, 1982a, 1982b; Trifunac and Westermo, 1982). Anomalously large or small ground motion amplitudes are often due to local site conditions. For example, Mueller *et al.* (1982) attributed the peak acceleration of 1.74 *g* recorded during the 1979 Imperial Valley earthquake (the largest strong-motion acceleration ever recorded) to resonance effects due to a thin layer of low velocity sediments—the peak accelerations on nearby stations were 2.5 times less. Campbell (1981b) reported amplifications of peak horizontal acceleration by a factor of 2 at sites underlain by shallow soil as compared to rock or deeper soils.

Empirical studies of the effects of local site geology are often based on the small motions from microseisms, small earthquakes, distant blasts. The energy sources for these motions are fairly common, so specific studies such as detailed mapping of local variations in site response in an area (Hays *et al.*, 1980) and relating the ground response to properties of the underlying soils (Rogers *et al.*, 1979) can be undertaken. A criticism often made of such studies, however, is that because of nonlinear soil response, they are inapplicable to the strong motions from large earthquakes. Hays *et al.* (1979) and Rogers, Covington, Borchardt, and Tinsley (1981) have looked into this by comparing transfer functions between several stations recording both the 1979 San Fernando earthquake and the weak motions from underground nuclear explosions in Nevada. The observed transfer functions were reasonably similar, implying that the effects of nonlinear soil response may not be as important as once was thought. Joyner *et al.* (1981), studying accelerograms with amplitudes of about 0.25 *g* recorded at two adjacent sites—one on soil and one on rock—reached the same conclusion.

Site response may do more than amplify or attenuate wave motions; Frankel (1982) and Hanks (1982b) concluded that it may be responsible for the commonly-observed sharp depletion of energy in ground accelerations above a certain maximum frequency. Others have attributed this to a source effect (Papageorgiou and Aki, 1982c), with the implication that for small enough earthquakes the stress drop decreases as earthquake magnitude decreases (Archuleta *et al.*, 1982). Estimates of peak accelerations from various source models are directly dependent on the maximum frequency, and therefore this subject will receive increasing attention in the next few years.

Many of the predictions of site response are based on plane wave propagation in vertically-heterogeneous media (Burrige *et al.*, 1980; Kausel and Roesset, 1981), sometimes including nonlinear constitutive behaviour (Wylie and Henke, 1979). Modeling studies by Langston (1981b) and Johnson and Silva (1981) showed that the

overall response can be adequately predicted by such models. In their study of accelerograms from the 1979 Coyote Lake, California, earthquake, Joyner *et al.* (1981) found that observed amplifications of 2 to 3 on soil relative to a nearby rock site were well explained by a plane layer model without invoking nonlinear response. They concluded that the amplification of the motion was less a matter of dynamic resonance, as is often assumed, than the simple conservation of energy flux in a tube of rays as it passes through a heterogeneous media. This is not to say that dynamic resonance is nonexistent, only that energy flux may be a good predictor of the amplification of ground motion measures which represent an average over a range of frequencies.

The studies just discussed assumed no variation in material properties in a horizontal direction. This is clearly a poor assumption in many cases; instruments or structures are often sited near the edges of sedimentary basins or near rapid changes in surface topography, such as ridges, artificial cuts, or seacliffs. The influence of these heterogeneities on the seismic ground motion was the subject of a number of papers, some using approximate analytical solutions to idealized problems (Wojcik, 1979; Dravinski, 1982c; Lee, 1982; Wong, 1982). Others used finite element or finite difference methods (Bolt and May, 1979; Drake, 1980; Boore, Harmsen, and Harding 1981; Harmsen and Harding, 1981; May and Bolt, 1982), and one study used a foam rubber model (King and Brune, 1981). The various studies assumed different excitations and geometries, and therefore it is not useful to discuss them in detail. As might be expected, they all showed that lateral heterogeneities have a significant effect on motions whose wavelengths are comparable to the characteristic lengths of the heterogeneities. Boore, Harmsen, and Harding (1981) found that scattering close to a site is a mechanism whereby a significant fraction of vertically traveling energy can be converted into horizontally traveling waves. This may be important for the design of long linear structures such as pipelines and bridges.

Modeling and empirical analysis of data require specific information about the geologic structure and seismic velocities near sites. This has been provided for many strong motion sites in the United States (Silverstein, 1979, 1980a, 1980b; Shannon and Wilson, 1980a, 1980b, 1980c; Fumal *et al.*, 1982a, 1982b).

Simulation and Estimation of Strong Ground Motion

I have discussed above many of the elements that go into the prediction of strong ground motion. Studies that combine these elements in various degrees, with the goal of predicting time series or peak parameters, are reviewed here (other reviews can be found in Swanger *et al.*, 1980; Bolt, 1981; Hays, 1980; Swanger *et al.*, 1981; Aki, 1982b). The various studies can be roughly classed into those concerned with predicting intermediate to long period motions (periods greater than about 1 s) and those interested in higher frequencies. The former predictions are often based on computer codes that propagate waves in layered media; the latter recognize that in general the lateral heterogeneities near the Earth's surface make the use of such codes a dubious undertaking (beside which, the cost and complexity of using such techniques is a strongly increasing function of frequency).

A favorite and quite relevant application of the long-period simulation techniques is to compute the motions expected in the Los Angeles area from a repeat of the 1857 earthquake on the San Andreas fault (Kanamori, 1979; Bouchon and Aki, 1980; Butler and Kanamori, 1980; Apsel *et al.*, 1981). The results generally showed that the duration of shaking can be on the order of 6 minutes, and that the maximum amplitudes will be carried by surface waves.

Simulations of high-frequency motion must account for the random-appearing nature of accelerograms, and this is usually done by allowing the source to be complex. The effects of wave propagation are sometimes accounted for by a Green's function technique in which observed records from smaller events are summed to yield the ground motion from a larger earthquake (Kanamori, 1979; Hadley and HelMBERGER, 1980; Hartzell, 1982). A variation on this theme was given by Hadley *et al.* (1982); they used theoretical wave propagation to obtain the path effect and close-in recordings of a few moderate earthquakes for the source function.

The implications of complex faulting for high-frequency ground motions has been considered by many authors. McGarr (1981) proposed a model of inhomogeneous faulting in which failure occurs in a circular high strength asperity surrounded by an annular, previously failed region. Observations ranging over almost ten orders of magnitude in seismic moment indicated that the ratio of radii of the outer to the inner regions was between 1 and 10, independent of earthquake size. Within the context of his model, this sets upper bounds on near-source ground acceleration and velocity (McGarr, 1982b). (Lower bounds for peak ground motions have been proposed by Luco, 1980, 1982). Further complexities in high-frequency ground motion can be modeled by assuming a distribution of weak and strong patches. Some studies use specific models of the heterogeneities. For example, Joyner and Boore (1980) computed the motions for rupture propagation along a fault on which the dislocation at any point was derived from filtered white noise. Savy (1981) used a fault broken into segments of random lengths, with variable slip and rupture velocity on each segment. The motions computed from these studies account for the finite extent of faults and are appropriate for site-specific predictions of high-frequency ground motions close to large faults. In contrast, Boatwright (1982a) and Papageorgiou and Aki (1982b, 1982c) used a point source representation with random superposition of the radiated field from many circular patches. Their models capture the essence of the high-frequency motions and are appropriate for predictions of the motions over an ensemble of sites surrounding the source. The same can be said for the models of Hanks (1979a), McGuire and Hanks (1980), and Hanks and McGuire (1981): in yet a third way of characterizing the high frequency motion, they showed that a simple spectral representation of the motion (band-limited finite-duration white noise) is justified and that scaling this spectrum according to Brune's simple source-model (Brune, 1970, 1971) gave results in excellent agreement with strong-motion data. McGuire and Toro (1982) have extended the model to the site-specific motions from an extended rupture.

Another class of simulations deals with the motions from what may be called generic models of faulting. Bouchon (1980a, 1980b) has calculated the motion of the ground at many points surrounding both a dip-slip and a strike-slip finite fault. He included a low-velocity layer over the substrate media, and found that this introduces much complexity compared to the motions in a homogeneous halfspace. Anderson and Luco (1982) found an analytical solution for the motions from an idealized propagating fault in a halfspace that allowed them to make extensive parametric studies of the ground motion.

Another type of simulation of use to engineers who need time series for dynamic analyses of structures is based on suitably filtered and windowed random noise (Jurkevics and Ulrich, 1979; Kubo and Penzien, 1979b; Chang *et al.*, 1981; Polhemus and Cakmak, 1981; Nau *et al.*, 1982). These are replicative simulations in that the time series are constrained, on the average, to have certain properties determined from data.

Prediction of Strong Motion in the Central and Eastern U. S.

The design and licensing of the large numbers of nuclear power plants in the eastern half of the United States requires estimates of the strong ground motion from possible earthquakes. Unfortunately, there are few instrumental data available from which to make an empirical estimate of the motions. Instead, two approaches have been used. The first relies on estimates of seismic intensities for fu-

ture earthquakes and the correlation of intensity with ground motions (Chandra, 1979; Nuttli *et al.*, 1979; Trifunac, 1979; Gupta, 1980; Battis, 1981). Large scatter is often associated with these correlations, however, and therefore methods are being developed for the estimation of ground motions that are less dependent on poorly determined intermediate correlations. These methods generally combine empirical attenuation relations obtained from the low amplitude waves produced by whatever energy sources are available (small earthquakes, explosions) and the scaling of various measures of ground motion obtained from western United States strong-motion data (Campbell, 1981a, 1982). It is clear from studies of Lg waves that attenuation is much less in the eastern United States than in the west (Bollinger, 1979; Chung and Bernreuter, 1979; Apse and Frazier, 1981; Dwyer *et al.*, 1981). The differences in attenuation should, however, not be important for estimates of motions within several tens of kilometers of the earthquake source. The problem of specifying earthquake size does arise. Nuttli (1981b, 1982) reported that the spectral shapes of midplate and plate margin earthquakes differ. Consequently, earthquakes in the two regions with the same long period measure of magnitude could have different amounts of short period energy. On the other hand, Herrmann and Nuttli (1982) demonstrated an equivalence between magnitudes determined from Lg waves (m_{bLg}) and from the output of a Wood-Anderson seismometer (M_L). Because m_{bLg} is the usual magnitude determined at regional distances for eastern earthquakes, and M_L is used to classify most western earthquakes, they suggested that this establishes a basis for the adoption of the western United States strong motion data base to the east. Herrmann (1981) warned, however that even with the equivalence of m_{bLg} and M_L it may be incorrect to simply replace the attenuation coefficient in regression equations based on western data with that for the east. The problem is that magnitude, peak ground acceleration, peak ground velocity, and peak ground displacement all sample different frequency ranges and, as stated before, the source scaling may differ in the various parts of the country. Clearly, this is an important subject for future work.

Conclusions

As I have attempted to make clear in this review, a great amount of work during the last four years has been put into the prediction of strong ground motion. Seismologists now have a good understanding of this motion over a wide range of magnitudes and distances. Much work remains to be done, however, to reduce the variance in the predictions of the motion. Judging from past experience, the strong motion data set will be considerably enlarged in the next four years. These data will further improve our ability to predict the ground motion. I hope that these additions to the data set will include recordings close to very large earthquakes ($M \geq 7.5$) as well; we have few such records now, and yet it is these earthquakes which govern the design of most important structures and present the greatest earthquake hazard to our population centers.

Acknowledgments. Thanks go to R. Borchardt, G. Brady, K. Campbell, T. Hanks, W. Joyner, C. Mueller, and O. Nuttli for reviews, W. Lee for help in extracting references from his computer files, and C. Mueller for delving into the mysteries of the TeX word processor and cheerfully performing much tedious work in preparing the camera-ready copy.

References

An introductory remark Because of the wide range of fields covered in this review, my initial version of this paper grouped the references according to subject matter. I found this to be awkward, for a particular paper could logically fit into several categories, and finding a paper cited in the text often required searching through several groups of references. Thus I have fallen back to the standard alphabetical listing, even though the papers not cited in the text are more likely to escape attention.

In spite of the editor's admonitions not to, I have included many references to the "grey" literature—conference reports, in-house publications, and the like, the engineering community relies heavily on such literature, and much work of importance in strong motion seismology is never published elsewhere.

Abe, K., Size of great earthquakes of 1837 to 1974 inferred from tsunami data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **84**, 1561-1568, 1979

Abo-Zena, A., Dispersion function computations for unlimited frequency values, *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, **58**, 91-105, 1979

Acharya, H. K., Comment on 'Attenuation of intensity with epicentral distance in the Philippines' by Sergio Su, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, **71**, 929-931, 1981

Aki, K., Seismic displacements near a fault, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **75**, 5359-5376, 1968

- Aki, K., Evolution of quantitative models of earthquakes, *Proc. SIAM-AMS*, 12, 43-58, 1979.
- Aki, K., Characterization of barriers on an earthquake fault, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 84, 6140-6148, 1979.
- Aki, K., Attenuation of shear waves in the lithosphere for frequencies from 0.05 to 25 Hz, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, 21, 60-80, 1980a.
- Aki, K., Scattering and attenuation of shear waves in the lithosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 85, 6490-6504, 1980b.
- Aki, K., Source and scattering effects on the spectra of small local earthquakes, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 71, 1687-1700, 1981a.
- Aki, K., Attenuation and scattering of short-period seismic waves in the lithosphere, in *Identification of Seismic Sources—Earthquakes or Underground Explosions*, edited by E. S. Husebye and S. Mykkelvet, pp. 515-541, 1981b.
- Aki, K., Scattering and attenuation of high frequency body waves (1-25 Hz) in the lithosphere, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, 26, 241-243, 1981c.
- Aki, K., Scattering and attenuation, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 72, 516-528, 1982a.
- Aki, K., Strong motion prediction using mathematical modeling techniques, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 72, S1-S13, 1982b.
- Amin, A., and M. D. Trifunac, A note on optimum frequency and damping for optically recording strong motion accelerographs, *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engin.*, 1, 189-194, 1982.
- Anderson, J. G., and T. H. Heaton, Aftershock accelerograms recorded on a temporary array, in *U S Geol Surv. Prof. Pap. 1254*, 443-451, 1982.
- Anderson, J. G., and J. E. Luco, Parametric study of near-field ground motion for oblique-slip and dip-slip fault models, *Proc of Workshop XVI. The Dynamic Characteristics of Faulting Inferred from Recordings of Strong Ground Motion*, 4, Convoked Lake Tahoe, Calif., Oct. 21-23, 1981, *U S Geol Surv. Open-File Rep.*, 82-591, 534-561, 1982.
- Anderson, J. G., M. D. Trifunac, T. L. Teng, A. Amin, and K. Moslem, Los Angeles vicinity strong motion accelerograph network, *Rep. CE 81-04*, 79 pp., Dept. of Civil Engin., Univ. of Southern Calif., Los Angeles, 1981.
- Andrews, D. J., Fault impedance and earthquake energy in the Fourier transform domain, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 70, 1683-1698, 1980.
- Andrews, D. J., A stochastic fault model. I. Static case, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 85, 3867-3877, 1980.
- Andrews, D. J., A stochastic fault model. 2. Time dependent case, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 86, 10821-10834, 1981.
- Andrews, D. J., Separation of source and propagation spectra of seven Mammoth Lakes aftershocks, *Proc. of Workshop XVI. The Dynamic Characteristics of Faulting Inferred from Recordings of Strong Ground Motion*, 1, Convoked Lake Tahoe, Calif., Oct. 21-23, 1981, *U S Geol Surv. Open-File Rep.*, 82-591, 437-454, 1982.
- Apfel, R. J., and G. A. Fraser, Relative differences in seismic ground motion between the eastern and western regions of the United States, in *Earthquakes and Earthquake Engin. Eastern U.S.*, J. Convoked Knoxville, Tenn., Sept. 14-16, 1981, 233-258, Ann Arbor Science Publ., Inc., 1981.
- Apfel, R. J., G. A. Fraser, A. Jurkiewicz, and J. C. Fried, Ground motion predictions for the Los Angeles basin from a major San Andreas earthquake, *U S Geol Surv. Open-File Rep.*, 81-0878, 1981.
- Arasbas, W. J., W. D. Richins, and C. J. Langer, The Poacatello Valley (Idaho-Utah border) earthquake sequence of March to April 1975, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 71, 803-828, 1981.
- Archuleta, R. J., Analysis of near-source static and dynamic measurements from the 1979 Imperial Valley earthquake, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 72, p. 1927-1956, 1982a.
- Archuleta, R. J., Hypocenter for the 1979 Imperial Valley earthquake, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 9, 625-628, 1982b.
- Archuleta, R. J., E. Cranwick, C. Mueller, and P. Spudich, Source parameters of the 1980 Mammoth Lakes, California earthquake sequence, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 87, 4595-4607, 1982.
- Archuleta, R. J., and S. M. Day, Dynamic rupture in a layered medium the 1966 Parkfield earthquake, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 70, 671-689, 1980.
- Archuleta, R. J., and S. H. Hartzell, Effects of fault finiteness on near source ground motion, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 71, 939-957, 1981.
- Archuleta, R. J., W. B. Joyner, and D. M. Boore, A methodology for predicting ground motion at specific sites, *U S Geol. Surv. Circular*, 807 *Progress on Seismic Seismology in the San Francisco Bay Region, Calif.*, pp. 26-36, 1979.
- Asten, M. W., Comments on 'Microtremor site analysis study at Beatty, Nevada' by L. J. Katz and R. J. Bellon, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 68, 1633-1636, 1979.
- Bache, T. C., D. G. Lambert, and T. G. Barker, A source model for the March 28, 1975 Poacatello Valley earthquake from time domain modeling of teleseismic P waves, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 70, 405-417, 1980.
- Bakun, W. H., Seismic activity on the southern Calaveras fault in central California, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 70, 1181-1197, 1980.
- Bakun, W. H., and T. V. McEvilly, Earthquakes near Parkfield, California: Comparing the 1934 and 1980 sequences, *Science*, 205, 1375-1377, 1979.
- Bakun, W. H., and T. V. McEvilly, P wave spectra for M_L 5 foreshocks, aftershocks, and isolated earthquakes near Parkfield, California, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 71, 423-438, 1981.
- Bakun, W. H., R. M. Stewart, C. G. Bufe, and S. M. Marks, Implication of seismicity for failure of a section of the San Andreas fault, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 70, 185-201, 1980.
- Barker, B. W., Z. A. Der, and C. P. Mrazek, The effect of crustal structure on the regional phases P and Lg at the Nevada test site, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 86, 1688-1700, 1981.
- Barker, J. S., and C. A. Langston, Inversion of teleseismic body waves for the moment tensor of the 1978 Thessaloniki, Greece, earthquake, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 71, 1423-1444, 1981.
- Barker, J. S., and C. A. Langston, Moment tensor inversion of complex earthquakes, *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 68, 777-803, 1982.
- Barker, T., and J. B. Minster, Far-field and near-field radiation from a growing and propagating relaxation source, *Wave Motion*, 4, 231-245, 1980.
- Battis, J. C., Regional modification of acceleration attenuation functions, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 71, 1309-1321, 1981.
- Beck, J. L., Comments on 'Covariance-invariant digital filtering—a better digital processing technique for ground motion studies' by J. E. Ehrenberg and E. N. Hernandez, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 72, 1445-1446, 1982.
- Benioff, H., Mechanism and strain characteristics of the White Wolf fault as indicated by the aftershock sequence, in *Earthquakes in Kern County California During 1955*, edited by G. B. Oakeshott, *Bull. 171*, Calif. Div. of Mines and Geol., Sacramento, pp. 199-202, 1955.
- Benett, H. F., and W. H. Bakun, Attenuation of shear-waves in the lithosphere for frequencies from 0.05 to 25 Hz—comment, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, 22, 195-196, 1982.
- Berberian, M., I. Asudeh, R. G. Bilham, C. H. Scholz, and C. Soufferis, Mechanism of the main shock and the aftershock study of the Tabas-Golshan (Iran) earthquake of September 16, 1978: A preliminary report, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 69, 1851-1859, 1979.
- Bernreuter, D. L., Scaling and estimation of earthquake ground motion as a function of the earthquake source parameters and distance, *Rep. UCRL-53080*, 72 pp., Lawrence Livermore Nat. Lab., Univ. of Calif., 1981.
- Blume, J., and A. Kiremidjian, Probabilistic procedures for peak ground motions, *J. Struct. Div., Amer. Soc. Civil Engin.*, 107, 2292-2309, 1979.
- Boatwright, J., A spectral theory for circular seismic sources: simple estimates of source dimension, dynamic stress drop, and radiated seismic energy, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 70, 1-27, 1980.
- Boatwright, J., Preliminary body wave analysis of the St. Elias, Alaska earthquake of February 28, 1979, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 70, 419-428, 1980.
- Boatwright, J., Quasi-dynamic models of simple earthquakes applicable to an aftershock of the 1975 Oroville, California, earthquake, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 71, 89-94, 1981.
- Boatwright, J., A dynamic model for far-field acceleration, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 72, 1043-1068, 1982a.
- Boatwright, J., Introduction to special papers on the dynamic characteristics of faulting inferred from recordings of strong ground motion, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 72, 1823-1824, 1982b.
- Boatwright, J., and D. M. Boore, Analysis of the ground accelerations radiated by the 1980 Livermore Valley earthquakes for directivity and dynamic source characteristics, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 72, 1843-1865, 1982.
- Bollinger, G. A., Attenuation of the Lg phase and the determination of m_0 in the southeastern United States, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 69, 45-63, 1979.
- Bolt, B. A., Interpretation of strong ground motion records, *Misc. Paper 5-73-1*, 215 pp., U S Army Engin. Waterways Exp. Station, Vicksburg, 1981.
- Bolt, B. A., and N. A. Abrahamson, New attenuation relations for peak and expected accelerations of strong ground motion, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 72, 2307-2321, 1982.
- Bolt, B. A., C. H. Loh, J. Penzance, Y. B. Tsai, and Y. T. Yeh, Preliminary report on the SMART 1 strong motion array in Taiwan, *Rep. UCB/EERC-82/14*, 194 pp., Earthquake Engin. Res. Cen., Univ. of Calif., Berkeley, 1982.
- Bolt, B. A., and T. W. May, The effectiveness of trenches and scarps reducing seismic energy, *Proc. of the 2nd U S Nat. Conf. on Earthquake Engin.*, Convoked Stanford Univ., Aug. 22-24, 1979, 1104-1113, 1979.
- Bolt, B. A., T. V. McEvilly, and R. A. Uhrhammer, The Livermore Valley, California, sequence of January 1980, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 71, 451-463, 1981.
- Bolt, B. A., J. Pensein, and Y. B. Tsai, Preliminary results from the strong motion accelerograph array in Taiwan, *Calif. Geol.*, 35, 12-14, 1982.
- Bolt, B. A., Y. B. Tsai, K. Yeh, and M. K. Hsu, Earthquake strong motions recorded by a large near-source array of digital seismographs, *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 10, 561-573, 1982.
- Boad, W. E., R. Dohy, and M. J. O'Rourke, A study of the engineering characteristics of the 1971 San Fernando earthquake records using time domain techniques, *Rep. CE-80-1*, 165 pp., Dept. of Civil Engin., Rensselaer Poly. Inst., Troy, New York, 1980.
- Boore, D. M., On the attenuation of peak velocity, *Proc. of 7th World Conf. on Earthquake Engin.*, Convoked Istanbul, Turkey, Sept. 8-13, 1980, 577-584, 1980.
- Boore, D. M., The properties and prediction of strong ground motion, in *Earthquake Ground Motion and its Effects on Structures*, *Amer. Soc. Mech. Engin., AMD-Vol. 53*, 3-20, 1982.
- Boore, D. M., and J. B. Fletcher, Preliminary study of selected aftershocks from digital acceleration and velocity recordings, in *U S Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap. 1254*, 109-118, 1982.
- Boore, D. M., S. C. Harmsen, and S. T. Harding, Wave scattering from a step change in surface topography, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 71, 117-125, 1981.
- Boore, D. M., W. B. Joyner, A. A. Oliver, III, and R. A. Paga, Peak acceleration, velocity, and displacement from strong motion records, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 70, 305-321, 1980.
- Boore, D. M., and W. B. Joyner, The empirical prediction of ground motion, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 72, 5289-5286, 1982.
- Boore, D. M., and R. L. Porcella, Peak acceleration from strong motion records: A postscript, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 70, 2295-2297, 1980.
- Boore, D. M., and R. L. Porcella, Peak horizontal ground motions from the main shock: comparison with data from previous earthquakes, in *U S Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap. 1254*, 439-441, 1982.
- Boore, D. M., J. D. Sims, H. Kanamori, and S. Harding, The Montenegro, Yugoslavia, earthquake of April 15, 1979: Source orientation and strength, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, 27, 133-142, 1981.
- Borcherdt, R. D., Comments on array design and aftershock recordings derived from Imperial Valley earthquake of October 15, 1979, in *State of the Art in Earthquake Engineering*, Kelsaynak, Ankara, Turkey, 692 pp., 1981.
- Borcherdt, R. D., Reflection-refraction of general P and type-I S waves in elastic and anelastic solids, *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 70, 621-638, 1982.
- Borcherdt, R. D., J. F. Gibbs, and T. E. Fumal, Progress on ground motion predictions for the San Francisco Bay region, California, *U S Geol. Surv. Circular*, 807, *Progress on Seismic Seismology in the San Francisco Bay region, Calif.*, 13-25, 1979.
- Borcherdt, R. D., and R. B. Mathiewen, U S strong motion programs, *Proc. of 7th World Conf. on Earthquake Engin.*, 4, Convoked Istanbul, Turkey, Sept. 8-13, 1980, 9-16, 1980.
- Bouchon, M., Discrete wave number representation of elastic wave fields in three space dimensions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 84, 3609-3614, 1979a.
- Bouchon, M., Predictability of ground displacement and velocity near an earthquake fault: An example The Parkfield earthquake of 1966, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 84, 6149-6156, 1979b.
- Bouchon, M., The motion of the ground during an earthquake I: The case of a strike slip fault, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 85, 366-368, 1980a.
- Bouchon, M., The motion of the ground during an earthquake II: The case of a dip slip fault, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 85, 367-375, 1980b.
- Bouchon, M., A simple method to calculate Green's functions for elastic layered media, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 71, 959-971, 1981.
- Bouchon, M., The rupture mechanism of the Coyote Lake earthquake of 6 August 1979 inferred from near-field data, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 72, 745-757, 1982.
- Bouchon, M., and K. Aki, Simulation of long period, near field motion for the great California earthquake of 1857, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 70, 1669-1682, 1980.
- Bouchon, M., and K. Aki, Strain, tilt, and rotation associated with strong ground motion in the vicinity of earthquake faults, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 72, 1717-1738, 1982.
- Brady, A. G., An investigation of the Miyagi-ken-oki, Japan, earthquake of June 12, 1978 strong motion records and data, *Special Pub. 592*, pp. 36-80, Nat. Bur. of Standards, Washington, D. C., 1980.
- Brady, A. G., P. N. Mork, and J. B. Fletcher, Processed accelerograms from Montecito Dam, Jenkinsonville, S. C., 27 August and two later shocks, *U S Geol. Surv. Open-File Rep.*, 81-448, 1981.
- Brady, A. G., P. N. Mork, V. Peres, and L. D. Porter, Processed data from the Gilroy array and Coyote Creek records, Coyote Lake, California, earthquake, 6 August 1979, *U S Geol. Surv. Open-File Rep.*, 81-42, 1981.
- Brady, A. G., and V. Peres, Strong motion earthquake accelerograms digitization and analysis, *U S Geol. Surv. Open-File Rep.*, 79-922, 181 pp., 1979.
- Brady, A. G., V. Peres, and P. N. Mork, The Imperial Valley earthquake, October 15, 1979: Digitization and processing of accelerograph records, *U S Geol. Surv. Open-File Rep.*, 80-708, 312 pp., 1980.
- Brady, A. G., V. Peres, and P. N. Mork, Digitization and processing of main-shock ground-motion data from the U. S. Geological Survey accelerograph network, in *U S Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap. 1254*, 385-406, 1982.
- Brace, R. J., Reevaluation of Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale for earthquakes using distance as determinant, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 69, 911-924, 1979.
- Brenna, B. J., and D. E. Smylie, Linear viscoelasticity and dispersion in seismic wave propagation, *Rev. Geophys. Space Phys.*, 18, 233-246, 1981.
- Bruce, J. R., H. E. Lindberg, and G. R. Abrahamson, Simulation of strong earthquake motion with contained explosive line source arrays, *Proc. of the 2nd U S Nat. Conf. on Earthquake Engin.*, Convoked Stanford Univ., Aug. 22-24, 1979, 1134-1143, 1979.
- Bruce, J. N., Tectonic stress and the spectra of seismic shear waves from earthquakes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 75, 4997-5006, 1970.
- Bruce, J. N., Correction, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 76, 5002, 1971.
- Bruce, J. N., R. J. Archuleta, and S. H. Hartzell, Far-field S wave spectra, corner frequencies, and pulse shapes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 84, 2282-2272, 1979.
- Bruce, J. N., R. S. Simons, F. Vernon, L. Canales, and A. Reyes, Digital seismic event recorders. Description and examples from the San Jacinto fault, the Imperial fault, the Cerro Prieto fault, and the Oaxaca, Mexico subduction fault, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 70, 1395-1408, 1980.
- Bruce, J. N., F. L. Vernon III, R. S. Simons, J. Prince, and E. Mena, Strong-motion data recorded in Mexico during the mainshock, in *U S Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap. 1254*, 319-349, 1982.
- Buland, R., and J. Taggart, A mantle wave magnitude for the St. Elias, Alaska, earthquake of 28 February 1979, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 71, 1143-1159, 1981.
- Burdick, L. J., Comments on "The corner frequency shift, earthquake source models, and Q," by T. C. Hanks, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 72, 1419-1426, 1982.
- Burridge, R. G. Conn, and L. B. Freund, The stability of a rapid mode II shear crack with finite cohesive traction, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 84, 2210-2222, 1979.
- Burridge, R. G., F. Mainardi, and G. Servis, Soil amplification of plane seismic waves, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, 82, 122-136, 1980.
- Burridge, R., and R. Moon, Slipping on a frictional plane in three-dimensions: A numerical simulation of a scalar analogue, *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 67, 325-342, 1981.
- Butler, R., and H. Kanamori, Long period ground motion from a great earthquake, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 70, 943-961, 1980.
- Butler, R., and L. J. Ruff, Teleseismic short period amplitudes source and receiver variations, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 70, 831-850, 1980.
- Butler, R., G. S. Stewart, and H. Kanamori, The July 27, 1970 Tangshan, China earthquake—a complex sequence of intraplate events, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 69, 207-220, 1979.
- Bycroft, G. N., El Centro California differential ground motion array, *U S Geol. Surv. Open-File Rep.*, 80-819, 15 pp., 1980.
- Bycroft, G. N., Anomalous free field recordings at certain seismograph locations, *U S Geol. Surv. Open-File Rep.*, 81-818, 18 pp., 1981.
- Bycroft, G. N., El Centro differential ground motion array, in *U S Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap. 1254*, 351-356, 1982.
- Bycroft, G. N., Anomalous recording of the October 15, 1979 Imperial Valley, California, earthquake from the Coachella Canal Egan House No. 4, *U S Geol. Surv. Open-File Rep.*, 82-317, 1982.
- Campbell, K. W., A ground motion model for the central United States based on near source acceleration data, in *Earthquakes and Earthquake Engin.: Eastern U.S.*, J. Convoked Knoxville, Tenn., Sept. 14-16, 1981, 213-232, Ann Arbor Science Publ., Inc., 1981a.
- Campbell, K. W., Near source attenuation of peak horizontal acceleration, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 71, 2039-2070, 1981b.
- Campbell, K. W., A preliminary methodology for the regional zonation of peak acceleration, *Proc. of the 3rd Int. Conf. on Earthquake Microzonation*, J. Convoked Seattle, Wash., June 28-July 1, 1982, 365-370, 1982.
- Carder, D. S. (editor), *Publ. 41-2*, Earthquake Investigations in the United States 1931-1964, 264 pp., U S Dept. of Commerce, 1964.
- Chandra, U., Attenuation of intensities in the United States, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 69, 2003-2024, 1979.
- Chandra, U., Attenuation of intensity with distance in Greece, *Proc. of the 3rd Int. Conf. on Earthquake Microzonation*, 2, Convoked Seattle, Wash., June 28-July 1, 1982, 541-552, 1982.

- earthquakes in the Shumagin seismic gap, Alaska, *J Geophys Res*, **85**, 7151-7165, 1980.
- Huang, M., On the characteristics of 3-dimensional earthquake ground motion, *Proc of the Third Int Conf on Earthquake Microzonation*, I, Convended Seattle, Wash., June 28-July 1, 1982, 435-446, 1982.
- Huang, M., M. A. Haroun, and G. W. Housner, A study on strong motion data of the 1979 Imperial Valley earthquake, *Proc of the Third Int Conf on Earthquake Microzonation*, I, Convended Seattle, Wash., June 28-July 1, 1982, 401-412, 1982.
- Hudson, D. E., *Reading and Interpreting Strong Motion Accelerogram*, Earthquake Engng Res Inst., Berkeley, Calif., 112 pp., 1979.
- Hudson, J. A., and D. M. Boore, Comments on 'Scattered surface waves from a surface obstacle' by J.A. Hudson, *Geophys J R Astron Soc*, **60**, 123-127, 1980.
- Ishida, K., Study of the characteristics of strong motion Fourier spectra on bedrock, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **69**, 2101-2115, 1979.
- Ishida, K., Approximate method to estimate the short period strong motion spectra on bedrock, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **71**, 491-506, 1981.
- Israel, M., and A. Nur, A complete solution of a one-dimensional propagating fault with nonuniform stress and strength, *J Geophys Res*, **84**, 2223-2234, 1979.
- Iwan, W. D., The deployment of strong-motion earthquake instrumentation arrays, *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, **7**, 413-428, 1979.
- Iwan, W. D. (ed.), *U S Strong-Motion Earthquake Instrumentation—Proc. of the U S Nat Workshop on Strong-Motion Earthquake Instrumentation*, Convended Santa Barbara, Calif., April 12-14, 1981, 65 pp., Calif Inst Tech., Pasadena, 1981.
- Jennings, P. C., and H. Kanamori, Determination of local magnitude M_L from seismoscope records, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **69**, 1267-1288, 1979.
- Johnson, J. A., Spectral characteristics of near source strong ground motion, *Proc. of 7th World Conf. on Earthquake Engng*, Convended Istanbul, Turkey, Sept. 8-13, 1980, 131-134, 1980.
- Johnson, L. R., and W. J. Silva, The effects of unconsolidated sediments upon the ground motion during local earthquakes, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **71**, 127-149, 1981.
- Joyner, W. B., and D. M. Boore, A stochastic source model for synthetic strong motion seismograms, *Proc of 7th World Conf on Earthquake Engng*, Convended Istanbul, Turkey, Sept. 8-13, 1980, 1-8, 1980.
- Joyner, W. B., and D. M. Boore, Peak horizontal acceleration and velocity from strong motion records including records from the 1979 Imperial Valley, California, earthquake, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **71**, 2011-2038, 1981.
- Joyner, W. B., and D. M. Boore, Estimation of response-spectral values as functions of magnitude, distance, and site conditions, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, **88-881**, 28 pp., 1982a.
- Joyner, W. B., and D. M. Boore, Prediction of earthquake response spectra, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, **88-977**, 16 pp., 1982b.
- Joyner, W. B., R. E. Warrick, and T. E. Fumal, The effect of Quaternary alluvium on strong ground motion in the Coyote Lake, California, earthquake of 1979, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **71**, 1333-1349, 1981.
- Jurkevics, A., and T. J. Ulrich, Autoregressive parameters for a set of strong motion accelerograms, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **69**, 2025-2036, 1979.
- Kadinsky-Cade, K., M. Barazangi, J. E. Oliver, and B. L. Isaacs, Lateral variations of high frequency seismic wave propagation at regional distances across the Turkish and Iranian plateaus, *J Geophys Res*, **86**, 9377-9396, 1981.
- Kagami, H., C. M. Duke, G. C. Liang, and J. Horita, Observation of long period microtremors with special reference to an evaluation of long period seismic motions on the extremely deep soil deposit, *Proc of 7th World Conf on Earthquake Engng*, Convended Istanbul, Turkey, Sept. 8-13, 1980, 136-146, 1980.
- Kagami, H., C. M. Duke, G. C. Liang, and Y. Ohta, Observation of 1- to 5-second microtremors and their application to earthquake engineering Part II Evaluation of site effect upon seismic wave amplification due to extremely deep soil deposits, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **72**, 987-998, 1982.
- Kagao, Y., Stochastic model of earthquake fault geometry, *Geophys J R Astron Soc*, **71**, 659-691, 1982.
- Kamel, A., and L. B. Felsen, Hybrid ray mode formulation of SH motion in a two layer half space, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **71**, 1763-1781, 1981.
- Kanamori, H., A semi-empirical approach to prediction of long period ground motions from great earthquakes, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **69**, 1845-1870, 1979.
- Kanamori, H., and K. C. McNally, Variable rupture mode of the subduction zone along the Ecuador—Columbia coast, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **72**, 1241-1253, 1982.
- Katz, L. J., Comments on 'Microtremor site analysis study at Beatty Nevada' by L. J. Katz and R. J. Bellon A reply, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **69**, 1637-1639, 1979.
- Kausel, E., and R. Peck, Dynamic loads in the interior of a layered structure an explicit solution, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **72**, 1459-1481, 1982.
- Kausel, E., and J. M. Roesset, Stiffness matrices for layered soils, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **71**, 1743-1781, 1981.
- Khemici, O., and H. C. Shah, Frequency domain corrections of earthquake accelerograms with experimental verifications, *Rep 55*, 103 pp., John A. Blume Earthquake Engng Cen., Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1982.
- Kikuchi, M., and H. Kanamori, Inversion of complex body waves, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **72**, 491-506, 1982.
- King, J. L., and J. N. Brune, Modeling the seismic response of sedimentary basins, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **71**, 1469-1487, 1981.
- King, N. E., J. C. Savage, M. Luoskivi, and W. H. Prescott, Preseismic and coseismic deformation associated with the Coyote Lake, California, earthquakes, *J Geophys Res*, **86**, 892-898, 1981.
- King, J. L., and B. E. Tucker, Analysis of differential array data from El Centro, USA and Garm, USSR, *Proc of the Third Int Conf on Earthquake Microzonation*, 2, Convended Seattle, Wash., June 28-July 1, 1982, 811-822, 1982.
- Kjartansson, E., Constant Q wave propagation and attenuation, *J Geophys Res*, **84**, 4737-4748, 1979.
- Knopoff, L., and A. K. Chatterjee, Uniaxial extension of a two-dimensional shear crack under the influence of cohesive forces, *Geophys J R Astron Soc*, **69**, 7-26, 1982.
- Kovach, R. L., and A. M. Nur, Stanford Q conference, *J Geophys Res*, **85**, 5171, 1980.
- Krusty, M. J., L. J. Burdick, and D. W. Simpson, The focal mechanisms of the Gash, USSR, earthquakes, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **70**, 1737-1750, 1980.
- Kubo, T., and J. Penzien, Analysis of three-dimensional strong ground motions along principal axes, San Fernando earthquake, *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, **7**, 265-278, 1979a.
- Kubo, T., and J. Penzien, Simulation of three-dimensional strong ground motions along principal axes, San Fernando earthquake, *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, **7**, 279-294, 1979b.
- Lahr, J. C., C. D. Stephens, H. S. Sasagawa, and J. Boatwright, Alaskan seismic gap partially filled by 28 February 1979 earthquake, *Science*, **207**, 1351-1353, 1980.
- Landoni, J. A., and L. Knopoff, Dynamics of a one-dimensional crack with variable friction, *Geophys J R Astron Soc*, **64**, 151-161, 1981.
- Langer, C. J., and G. A. Bollinger, Secondary faulting near the terminus of a seismogenic strike slip fault aftershocks of the 1976 Guatemala earthquake, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **69**, 427-444, 1979.
- Langston, C. A., The February 9, 1971 San Fernando earthquake a study of source finiteness in teleseismic body waves, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **68**, 1-29, 1978.
- Langston, C. A., Source inversion of seismic waveforms the Koyra, India, earthquakes of 13 September 1967, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **71**, 1-24, 1981a.
- Langston, C. A., A study of Puget Sound strong ground motion, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **71**, 883-903, 1981b.
- Langston, C. A., Aspects of Pn and Pg propagation at regional distances, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **72**, 457-471, 1982.
- Langston, C. A., Comments on "The corner frequency shift, earthquake source models, and Q," by T. C. Hanks, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **72**, 1427-1432, 1982.
- Larkin, T. J., and N. C. Donovan, Sensitivity of computed nonlinear effective stress soil response to shear modulus relationships, *Proc of the End U S Nat Conf on Earthquake Engng*, Convended Stanford Univ., Aug. 22-24, 1979, 573-582, 1979.
- Lay, T., J. W. Given, and H. Kanamori, Long-period mechanism of the 8 November 1980 Eureka, California, earthquake, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **72**, 439-458, 1982.
- Lay, T., and H. Kanamori, Earthquake doublets in the Solomon Islands, *Phys Earth Planet Inter*, **21**, 283-304, 1980.
- Lay, T., and H. Kanamori, An asperity model of large earthquake sequences, in *Earthquake Prediction—An International Review*, Maurice Ewing Ser., vol 4, edited by D. W. Simpson and P. G. Richards, pp. 579-592, AGU, Washington, D. C., 1981.
- Lee, D. M., P. C. Jennings, and G. W. Housner, A selection of important strong motion earthquake records, *Rep 80-01*, 308 pp., Earthquake Engng Res Inst., Calif Inst Tech., Pasadena, 1980.
- Lee, V. W., A note on the scattering of elastic plane waves by hemispherical canyon, *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engng*, **1**, 122-129, 1982.
- Lee, V. W., and M. D. Trifunac, Automatic digitization and processing of strong-motion accelerograms Part II computer processing of accelerograms, *Rep 79-1511*, pp. 200-379, Dept of Civil Engng., Univ of Southern Calif., Los Angeles, 1979.
- Lindh, A. G., and D. M. Boore, Control of rupture by fault geometry during the 1980 Parkfield earthquake, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **71**, 95-116, 1981.
- Luco, J. E., Lower bounds for peak horizontal strong motion amplitudes, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **70**, 1309-1320, 1980.
- Luco, J. E., A note on near-source estimates of local magnitude, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **72**, 941-958, 1982.
- Luco, J. E., and D. A. Sotiropoulos, Local characterization of free field ground motion and effects of wave passage, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **70**, 2229-2244, 1980.
- Madariaga, R., A string model for the high frequency radiation from earthquake faulting, *Proc of Workshop XVI The Dynamic Characteristics of Faulting Inferred from Recordings of Strong Ground Motion*, I, Convended Lake Tahoe, Calif., Oct. 21-23, 1981, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, **88-591**, 32-49, 1982.
- Maley, R. P., C. G. Bule, R. F. Yerkes, D. L. Carver, and R. Housner, The May—July earthquake sequence near Thessaloniki, Greece, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, **80-597**, 1980.
- Maley, R. P., and E. C. Eberedze, Strong motion data from the Westminster, California earthquake of April 28, 1981, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, **81-1149**, 20 pp., 1981.
- Malone, S. D., and S. S. Bor, Aliquotation patterns in the Pacific northwest based on intensity data and the location of the 1975 north Cascades earthquake, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **69**, 531-546, 1979.
- Martin, G. R., C. F. Tsai, I. P. Lam, and D. G. Anderson, Seismic response of soft offshore soils A parametric study, *Proc of the End U S Nat Conf on Earthquake Engng*, Convended Stanford Univ., Aug. 22-24, 1979, 583-592, 1979.
- Matushka, T. C. F. Tsai, and G. R. Martin, Nonlinear response of soft clay sediments to high-strain earthquake ground motions, *Proc of the Third Int Conf on Earthquake Microzonation*, 2, Convended Seattle, Wash., June 28-July 1, 1982, 1065-1077, 1982.
- Mauk, F. J., D. H. Christensen, and S. Henry, The Sharpburg, Kentucky, earthquake 27 July 1980 Main shock parameters and mesoscal maps, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **72**, 221-238, 1982.
- Mavko, G. M., Mechanics of motion on major faults, *Ann. Rev Earth Planet Sci*, **9**, 81-111, 1981.
- Mavko, G. M., E. Kjartansson, and K. Winkler, Seismic wave attenuation in rocks, *Rev Geophys Space Phys*, **17**, 1155-1184, 1979.
- May, T. W., and B. A. Bolt, The effectiveness of trenches in reducing seismic motion, *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, **10**, 195-210, 1982.
- McCann, M. W., Jr., RMS acceleration and duration of strong ground motion, *Rep 46*, 149 pp., John A. Blume Earthquake Engng Cen., Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1980.
- McCann, M. W., Jr., and D. M. Boore, Variability in ground motions a factor in microzonation, *Proc of the Third Int Conf on Earthquake Microzonation*, 1, Convended Seattle, Wash., June 28-July 1, 1982, 471-482, 1982.
- McCann, M. W., Jr., F. Sauter, and H. C. Shah, A technical note on PGA intensity relations with applications to damage estimation, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **70**, 631-637, 1980.
- McCann, M. W., Jr., and H. C. Shah, Determining strong motion duration of earthquakes, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **69**, 1253-1265, 1979.
- McGarr, A., Some constraints on levels of shear stress in the crust from observations and theory, *J Geophys Res*, **85**, 6231-6238, 1980.
- McGarr, A., Analysis of peak ground motion in terms of a model of inhomogeneous faulting, *J Geophys Res*, **86**, 3901-3912, 1981.
- McGarr, A., Analysis of states of stress between provinces of constant stress, *J Geophys Res*, **87**, 9279-9288, 1982a.
- McGarr, A., Upper bounds on near-source peak ground motion based on a model of inhomogeneous faulting, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **72**, 1825-1841, 1982b.
- McGarr, A., R. W. E. Green, and S. M. Spottiswoode, Strong ground motion of mine tremors some implications for near source ground motion parameters, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **71**, 295-319, 1981.
- McGarr, A., S. M. Spottiswoode, N. C. Gay, and W. D. Ortlepp, Observations relevant to seismic driving stress, stress drop, and efficiency, *J Geophys Res*, **84**, 2251-2261, 1979.
- McEvelly, T., and L. Johnson, Role of anelasticity in earthquake ground motion, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, **80-816**, 1980.
- McGuire, R. K., and T. P. Barnhard, Four definitions of strong-motion duration their predictability and utility for seismic hazard analysis, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, **78-1515**, 115 pp., 1979.
- McGuire, R. K., and T. C. Hanks, RMS accelerations and spectral amplitudes of strong ground motion during the San Fernando, California earthquake, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **70**, 1907-1919, 1980.
- McGuire, R. K., and G. R. Toro, Segment rupture models of near fault high frequency ground motion, *Proc of Workshop XVI The Dynamic Characteristics of Faulting Inferred from Recordings of Strong Ground Motion*, 2, Convended Lake Tahoe, Calif., Oct. 21-23, 1981, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, **88-591**, 755-788, 1982.
- McJunkin, R. D., Strong motion free field site design characteristics, *Calif Geol*, **32**, 170-174, 1979.
- McJunkin, R. D., and N. A. Kalikini, Strong motion records recovered from the Westmorland, California, earthquake of 26 April 1981, *Rep 81-5*, 14 pp., Calif Div of Mines and Geol., Sacramento, 1981.
- McJunkin, R. D., and N. A. Kalikini, Strong motion records recovered from the Mammoth Lakes, California, earthquake of 30 September 1981, *Rep 81-10*, 1, 25 pp., Calif Div of Mines and Geol., Sacramento, 1981.
- McJunkin, R. D., and J. T. Ragsdale, Compilation of strong motion records and preliminary data from the Imperial Valley earthquake of 15 October 1979, *Prelim. Rep 80, 59 pp., Calif Div of Mines and Geol., Sacramento, 1980a.*
- McJunkin, R. D., and J. T. Ragsdale, Strong motion records from the Livermore earthquake of 24 and 28 January, 1980, *Prelim. Rep 80*, 95 pp., Calif Div of Mines and Geol., Sacramento, 1980b.
- Medearis, K., Dynamic characteristics of ground motions due to blasting, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **69**, 627-639, 1979.
- Menke, W., Comment on 'Dispersion function computations for unlimbed frequency values' by Anas Abo-Zena, *Geophys J R Astron Soc*, **59**, 315-323, 1979.
- Minster, J. B., Near field waveforms from an arbitrarily expanding, transparent spheroidal cavity in a prestressed medium, *Geophys J R Astron Soc*, **56**, 81-96, 1979.
- Mitchell, B. J., Frequency dependence of shear wave internal friction in the continental crust of eastern North America, *J Geophys Res*, **85**, 5212-5218, 1980.
- Mitchell, B. J., Regional variation and frequency dependence of Q-beta in the crust of the United States, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **71**, 1531-1538, 1981.
- Mohraz, B., and P. Moayayd, Time-dependent power spectral density of earthquake accelerograms, *Proc of the Third Int Conf on Earthquake Microzonation*, I, Convended Seattle, Wash., June 28-July 1, 1982, 483-494, 1982.
- Mori, J., Inhomogeneous stress release in the February 4, 1985 Rat Island earthquake, *Proc of Workshop XVI The Dynamic Characteristics of Faulting Inferred from Recordings of Strong Ground Motion*, 2, Convended Lake Tahoe, Calif., Oct. 21-23, 1981, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, **88-591**, 699-721, 1982.
- Mork, P. N., and A. G. Brady, Processed accelerogram from Monticello Dam, Jenkinsville, South Carolina, 18 October 1979, 0706 UTC, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, **81-1614**, 20 pp., 1981.
- Morgan, C. P., A probabilistic definition of effective acceleration, *Proc of the End U S Nat Conf on Earthquake Engng*, Convended Stanford Univ., Aug. 22-24, 1979, 743-752, 1979.
- Mostafaei, N., and G. Ahmadi, Estimation of the peak horizontal ground acceleration based on peak accelerations of the components, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **72**, 937-942, 1982.
- Mueller, C. S., D. M. Boore, and R. L. Forellis, Detailed study of site amplification at El Centro strong-motion array station 8, *Proc of the Third Int Conf on Earthquake Microzonation*, 1, Convended Seattle, Wash., June 28-July 1, 1982, 412-424, 1982.
- Mueller, C. S., and E. Cranzwick, Source parameters of the January, 1982 New Brunswick aftershocks comparison with Mammoth Lakes (sb), *Eos Trans AGU*, **63**, 1030, 1982.
- Mueller, C. P., Spodich, E. Cranzwick, and R. Archuleta, Preliminary analysis of digital seismograms from the Mammoth Lakes, California earthquake sequence of May—June 1980, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, **81-155**, 1981.
- Muanga-Orosco, L., Strong ground motion and source mechanism studies for earthquakes in the northern Baja California—southern California region, Ph. D. Dissertation, Univ of Calif., San Diego, 152 pp., 1983.
- Nau, R. F., R. M. Oliver, and K. S. Puster, Simulating and analyzing artificial nonstationary earthquake ground motions, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **72**, 615-638, 1982.
- Nava, F. A., and J. N. Brune, Source mechanism and surface wave excitation for two earthquakes in northern Baja California, Mexico, *Proc of Workshop XVI The Dynamic Characteristics of Faulting Inferred from Recordings of Strong Ground Motion*, 2, Convended Lake Tahoe, Calif., Oct. 21-23, 1981, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, **88-591**, 617-646, 1982.
- Newman, W. I., and L. Knopoff, Crack fusion dynamics a model for large earthquakes, *Geophys Res Lett*, **9**, 735-738, 1982.
- Niaz, M., Source dynamics of the 1979 Imperial Valley earthquake from near-source observations (of ground acceleration and velocity), *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, **72**, 1957-1968, 1982.
- Niaz, M., Coherence of the strong ground motion at neighboring sites of similar surface geology as observed during the 1979

- Imperial Valley earthquake, *Proc of the Third Int Conf on Earthquake Microzonation, 1*, Convoked Seattle, Wash, June 28-July 1, 1982, 425-434, 1982
- Niasi, M, and H Kanamori, Source parameters of 1978 Tabas and 1979 Qanat, Iran, eqs from long period surface waves, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 71, 1201-1213, 1981
- Niver, E, A H Kamel, and L B Felsen, SH rays and modes in a two-layer earth model with application to the Imperial Valley, *Proc of Workshop XVI The Dynamic Characteristics of Faulting Inferred from Recordings of Strong Ground Motion, 2*, Convoked Lake Tahoe, Calif, Oct 21-23, 1981, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, 82-591, 935-954, 1982
- Nur, A., and M Israel, The role of heterogeneities in faulting, *Phys Earth Planet Inter*, 21, 225-236, 1980
- Nutli, O W, The body wave magnitude of the great 1857 California earthquake, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 69, 2117-2119, 1979
- Nutli, O W, State-of-the-art for assessing earthquake hazards in the United States Report 16, the relation of sustained maximum ground acceleration and velocity to earthquake intensity and magnitude, *Misc Paper 5-73-1*, 104 pp, U S Army Engin. Waterways Exp Station, Vicksburg, 1979
- Nutli, O W, The excitation and attenuation of seismic crustal phases in Iran, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 70, 469-485, 1980
- Nutli, O W, On the attenuation of Lg waves in western and central Asia and their use as a discriminant between earthquakes and explosions, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 71, 249-261, 1981a
- Nutli, O W, Similarities and differences between western and eastern United States earthquakes, and their consequences for earthquake engineering, in *Earthquakes and Earthquake Engin Eastern U S, 1*, Convoked Knoxville, Tenn, Sept 14-16, 1981, 25-52, Ann Arbor Science Publ, Inc, 1981b
- Nutli, O W, Advances in seismology and tectonics, *Proc of the Third Int Conf on Earthquake Microzonation, 1*, Convoked Seattle, Wash, June 28-July 1, 1982, 2-24, 1982
- Nutli, O W, Average seismic source-parameter relations for midplate earthquakes, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 73, 519-535, 1983
- Nutli, O W, G A Bollinger, and D W Griffiths, On the relation between Modified Mercalli intensity and body wave magnitude, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 69, 893-900, 1979
- Nutli, O W, and R B Herrmann, Earthquake magnitude scales, *J Geotech Engrg Div, Amer Soc Civil Engrg*, 783-788, 1982
- Olson, A H, and R J Appel, Finite faults and inverse theory with applications to 1979 Imperial Valley earthquake, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 78, 1989-2001, 1982
- O'Rourke, M J, M C Bloom, and R Dobry, Apparent propagation velocity of body waves, *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 10, 283-294, 1982
- O'Rourke, M J, and R Dobry, Apparent horizontal propagation velocity for the 1979 Imperial Valley earthquake, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 78, 2377-2380, 1982
- Papageorgiou, A S, and K Aki, Aspects of the mechanics of earthquake rupture related to the generation of high frequency waves and the prediction of strong ground motion, *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engrg*, 1, 67-74, 1982a
- Papageorgiou, A S, and K Aki, A specific barrier model for the quantitative description of inhomogeneous faulting and the prediction of strong ground motion I Description of the model, *Proc of Workshop XVI The Dynamic Characteristics of Faulting Inferred from Recordings of Strong Ground Motion, 1*, Convoked Lake Tahoe, Calif, Oct 21-23, 1981, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, 82-591, 311-352, 1982b
- Papageorgiou, A S, and K Aki, A specific barrier model for the quantitative description of inhomogeneous faulting and the prediction of strong ground motion II Applications of the model, *Proc of Workshop XVI The Dynamic Characteristics of Faulting Inferred from Recordings of Strong Ground Motion, 2*, Convoked Lake Tahoe, Calif, Oct 21-23, 1981, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, 82-591, 353-404, 1982c
- Pechmann, J C, and H Kanamori, Waveforms and spectra of prestocks and aftershocks of the 1979 Imperial Valley, California, earthquake: evidence for fault heterogeneity?, *J Geophys Res*, 87, 10,579-10,597, 1982
- Peres, V, Spectra of amplitudes sustained for a given number of cycles: An interpretation of response duration for strong motion earthquake records, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 70, 1943-1954, 1980
- Peres, V, The Imperial Valley, California earthquake, October 15, 1979: time dependent response spectrum plots, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, 82-183, 98 pp, 1982
- Pesekis, L L, and L J Burdick, Station site characteristics of WYSSN stations in India and southern Asia, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 72, 1207-1218, 1982
- Pitt, A M, C S Weaver, and W Spence, The Yellowstone Park earthquake of June 30, 1975, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 69, 187-205, 1979
- Pohlemus, N W, and A S Cakmak, Simulation of earthquake ground motions using autoregressive moving average (ARMA) models, *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 9, 343-354, 1981
- Porcella, R L, Strong-motion seismograph data, in *US Earthquakes, 1979*, edited by C W Stover and C A von Hake, pp 134-168, U S Dept of the Interior and U S Dept of Commerce, Golden, Colorado, 1981
- Porcella, R L (ed.), Seismic engineering program report January to April 1980, *U S Geol Surv Circular*, 854-A, 24 pp, 1982
- Porcella, R L, R B Mathiesen, and R P Maley, Strong-motion data recorded in the United States, in *U S Geol Surv Prof Pap 1854*, 289-318, 1982
- Porcella, R L, R B Mathiesen, R D McJunkin, and J T Ragsdale, Compilation of strong motion records from the August 6, 1979 Coyote Lake earthquake, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, 79-385, 78 pp, 1979
- Porter, L D, Data-processing procedures for main-shock motions recorded by the California Division of Mines and Geology strong-motion network, in *U S Geol Surv Prof Pap 1854*, 407-431, 1982
- Porter, L D, J T Ragsdale, and R D McJunkin, Processed data from the partial strong motion records of the Santa Barbara earthquake of 13 August 1978, *Prelim Rep 83*, 93 pp, Calif. Div of Mines and Geol, Sacramento, 1979
- Pull, J J, and K Aki, Attenuation of seismic waves in the lithosphere: Comparison of active and stable covered, in *Earthquakes and Earthquake Engrg Eastern U S, 1*, Convoked Knoxville, Tenn, Sept 14-16, 1981, 129-142, Ann Arbor Science Publ, Inc, 1981
- Raugh, M R, Procedures for analysis of strong motion records (ab), *Earthquake Notes*, 52, 1, 1981
- Reasenber, P, and W L Ellsworth, Aftershocks of the Coyote Lake, California, earthquake of August 6, 1979: a detailed study, *J Geophys Res*, 87, 10,637-10,655, 1982
- Reece, E W, D E Ryerson, and R L McNeill, Long term measurements of ground motions offshore, *Proc of Int Conf on Recent Advances in Geotech Earthquake Engrg and Soil Dynamics, 1*, Convoked St Louis, Missouri, April 26-May 3 1981, 377-380, 1981
- Reyes, A, J N Brune, and C Lomnitz, Source mechanism and aftershock study of the Colima, Mexico earthquake of January 30, 1973, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 69, 1819-1840, 1979
- Richards, P G, Elementary solutions to Lamb's problem for a point source and their relevance to three-dimensional studies of spontaneous crack propagation, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 69, 947-956, 1979
- Roecker, S W, B Tucker, J King, and D Hatsfeld, Estimates of Q in central Asia as a function of frequency and depth using the coda of locally recorded earthquakes, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 72, 129-149, 1982
- Rogers, A M, P A Covington, and R D Borchardt, A comparison of the ground response in the Los Angeles region from nuclear explosions and the 1971 San Fernando earthquake, *Proc of 7th World Conf on Earthquake Engrg*, Convoked Istanbul, Turkey, Sept 8-13, 1980, 625-632, 1980
- Rogers, A M, P A Covington, R D Borchardt, and J C Tinsley, Comparative ground response studies in Los Angeles using NTS nuclear explosions and San Fernando earthquake data, *Proc Conf XIII Evaluation of Regional Seismic Hazards and Risk*, Convoked USGS Menlo Park, Calif, Aug 25-27, 1980, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, 81-497, 143-161, 1981
- Rogers, A M, J C Tinsley, W W Hays, and K W King, Evaluation of the relation between near surface geological units and ground response in the vicinity of Long Beach, California, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 69, 1802-1822, 1979
- Rogers, A M, J C Tinsley, W W Hays, and K W King, Reply to H R Shufflett's comments on 'Evaluation of the relation between near surface geological units and ground response in the vicinity of Long Beach, California', *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 71, 571-572, 1981
- Rojahn, C, and R D Borchardt, On the status of in-situ strong ground motion and structural response investigations, *Proc of the Third Int Conf on Earthquake Microzonation, 1*, Convoked Seattle, Wash, June 28-July 1, 1982, 329-363, 1982
- Rojahn, C, and P N Mork, An analysis of strong-motion data from a severely damaged structure—the Imperial County Services Building, El Centro, California, in *U S Geol Surv Prof Pap 1854*, 357-375, 1982
- Rojahn, C, J T Ragsdale, J D Raggata, and J H Gates, Main-shock strong-motion records from the Meloland Road—Interstate Highway 8 overcrossing, in *U S Geol Surv Prof Pap 1854*, 377-383, 1982
- Rudnicki, J W, Remarks on seismic energy radiation from simple sources, *Proc of Workshop XVI The Dynamic Characteristics of Faulting Inferred from Recordings of Strong Ground Motion, 2*, Convoked Lake Tahoe, Calif, Oct 21-23, 1981, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, 82-591, 496-515, 1982
- Rudnicki, J W, and L B Freund, On energy radiation from seismic sources, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 71, 583-595, 1981
- Rudnicki, J W, and H Kanamori, Effects of fault interaction on moment, stress drop, and strain energy release, *J Geophys Res*, 86, 1785-1793, 1981
- Sato, H, Attenuation of S waves in the lithosphere due to scattering by its random velocity structure, *J Geophys Res*, 87, 7779-7785, 1982
- Sato, H, Coda wave excitation due to nonisotropic scattering and nonspherical source radiation, *J Geophys Res*, 87, 8665-8674, 1982
- Sato, T, and A Der Kiureghian, Dynamic behavior of ground for seismic analysis of lifeline systems, *Rep. UCB/ERC-82/01*, 62 pp, Earthquake Engrg Res. Ctr., Univ of Calif, Berkeley, 1982
- Savage, J C, Dislocations in seismology, in *Dislocations in Solids*, chap 12, edited by F R N Nabarro, pp 253-339, North-Holland Publ Co, 1980
- Savv, J B, A geophysical model of strong motion for large ensemble generation, Research Rep R81-6, 126 pp, Dept of Civil Engrg., Mass Inst Tech, Cambridge, 1981
- Savv, J B, Use of an artificial catalog of earthquake data in a study of the attenuation and component statistics of the strong ground motion acceleration, Research Rep 82-02, 121 pp, Dept of Materials Science and Engrg., Mass Inst Tech, Cambridge, 1982
- Schier, J W, Finite element matrices for seismic surface waves in three-dimensional structures, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 69, 1425-1437, 1979
- Schluo, J W, Seismic surface wave propagation in three-dimensional finite element structures, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 71, 1003-1010, 1981
- Scholz, C H, Scaling laws for large earthquakes: consequences for physical models, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 78, 1-14, 1982a
- Scholz, C H, Scaling relations for strong ground motion in large earthquakes, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 72, 1903-1909, 1982b
- Secher, L, and J G Armbruster, The 1886 Charleston, South Carolina earthquake and the Appalachian detachment, *J Geophys Res*, 86, 7874-7894, 1981
- Segall, P, and D D Pollard, Mechanics of discontinuous faults, *J Geophys Res*, 85, 4337-4350, 1980
- Seno, T, K Shimazaki, P Somerville, K Sudo, and T Eguchi, Rupture process of the Miyagi-Oki, Japan, earthquake of June 12, 1978, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter*, 23, 39-61, 1980
- Shakal, A F, and D L Bernauer, Empirical analysis of near-source ground motion, *NUREG/CR-0985*, 66 pp, U S Nuclear Reg Commission, Clearinghouse, Springfield, Virginia, 1981
- Shannon and Wilson, Inc and Agabian Associates, Geotechnical and strong motion earthquake data from U S accelerograph stations, vol 3, *NUREG/CR-0985*, 295 pp, U S Nuclear Reg Commission, Clearinghouse, Springfield, Virginia, 1980a
- Shannon and Wilson, Inc and Agabian Associates, Geotechnical and strong motion earthquake data from U S accelerograph stations, vol 4, *NUREG/CR-0985*, 255 pp, U S Nuclear Reg Commission, Clearinghouse, Springfield, Virginia, 1980b
- Shannon and Wilson, Inc and Agabian Associates, Geotechnical and strong motion earthquake data from U S accelerograph sta-
- tions, vol 5, *NUREG/CR-0985*, 395 pp, U S Nuclear Reg Commission, Clearinghouse, Springfield, Virginia, 1980c
- Shannon and Wilson, Inc and Agabian Associates, Site dependent effects at strong motion accelerograph stations, *NUREG/CR-1639*, 148 pp, U S Nuclear Reg Commission, Clearinghouse, Springfield, Virginia, 1980d
- Shaw, D E, Comment on 'Duration characteristics of horizontal components of strong motion earthquake records' by R Dobry, I M Idriss and E Ng, October 1978, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 69, 2125-2126, 1979
- Shifflett, H R, Comments on 'Evaluation of the relation between near surface geological units and ground response in the vicinity of Long Beach, California', by Rogers, Tinsley, Hays, and King, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 71, 567-570, 1981
- Shimazaki, K, and P Somerville, Static and dynamic parameters of the Izu-Oshima, Japan earthquake of January 14, 1978, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 69, 1843-1878, 1979
- Shoja-Taheri, J, A new assessment of errors from digitization and base line corrections of strong motion accelerograms, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 70, 293-303, 1980a
- Shoja-Taheri, J, Parkfield, California, earthquake of June 1966: Interpretation of the strong motion records, *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 3, 527-544, 1980b
- Shyam Sunder, S, and J J Connor, A new procedure for processing strong-motion earthquake signals, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 78, 843-861, 1982
- Silverstein, B L, Geologic description of selected strong motion accelerograph sites Part III, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, 79-1019, 39 pp, 1979
- Silverstein, B L, Geologic description of selected strong motion accelerograph sites Part IV, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, 80-473, 1980a
- Silverstein, B L, Geologic description of selected strong motion accelerograph sites Part V, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, 80-1149, 1980b
- Singh, J P, Importance of local structure and source characteristics in estimation of near field strong ground motions, *Proc of the Third Int Conf on Earthquake Microzonation, 2*, Convoked Seattle, Wash, June 28-July 1, 1982, 623-636, 1982
- Singh, R D, R Dobry, E H Doyle, and I M Idriss, Nonlinear seismic response of soft clay sites, *J Geotech Engrg Div, Amer Soc Civil Engrg*, 107, 1201-1218, 1981
- Singh, S, Reanalysis of crustal Q in the continental United States, Ph D Dissertation, St Louis Univ, 1981
- Singh, S, K J Fried, R Appel, and J N Brune, Spectral attenuation of SH-waves along the Imperial fault and a preliminary model of Q in the region, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 72, 2003-2016, 1982
- Sinton, J B, and L N Fraser, A method for the computation of finite frequency body wave synthetic seismograms in laterally varying media, *Geophys J R Astron Soc*, 71, 37-55, 1982
- Smith, S W, J E Ehrenberg, and E N Hernandez, Analysis of the El Centro differential array for the 1979 Imperial Valley Earthquake, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 78, 237-258, 1982
- Souferis, C, and G S Stewart, A source study of the Thessaloniki (northern Greece) 1978 earthquake sequence, *Geophys J R Astron Soc*, 67, 348-358, 1981
- Spudich, P, The deHoop-Knopoff representation theorem as a linear inverse problem, *Geophys Res Lett*, 7, 717-720, 1980
- Spudich, P, and E Cranswick, Use of near-source seismic-array data to reveal details of the earthquake rupture process (abstract), *Earthquake Notes*, 53, 39, 1982
- Spudich, P, and D Helmberger, Synthetic seismograms from model ocean bottoms, *J Geophys Res*, 84, 189-204, 1979
- Spudich, P, and J Orcutt, Estimation of earthquake ground motions relevant to the triggering of marine mass movements, in *Proc., NATO Workshop on Marine Mass Movements*, edited by S Saxov, Plenum, New York, 1981
- Stein, R S, and W Thatcher, Seismic and aseismic deformation associated with the 1952 Kern County, California, earthquake and relationship to the Quaternary history of the White Wolf fault, *J Geophys Res*, 86, 4913-4928, 1981
- Stephens, C D, J C Lahr, K A Fogelman, and R B Horner, The St. Elias, Alaska, earthquake of February 28, 1979: regional recording of aftershocks and short-term, pre-earthquake seismicity, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 70, 1607-1633, 1980
- Slevens, J L, The growing spherical seismic source, *Geophys J R Astron Soc*, 69, 121-135, 1982
- Stewart, G S, E P Chael, and K C McNally, The November 29, 1978, Oaxaca, Mexico, earthquake: A large simple event, *J Geophys Res*, 86, 5053-5080, 1981
- Stewart, G S, and S N Cohn, The 1976 August 16, Mindanao, Philippine earthquake ($M_s=7.8$)—evidence for a subduction zone south of Mindanao, *Geophys J R Astron Soc*, 57, 51-65, 1979
- Stewart, G S, and H Kanamori, Complexity of rupture in large strike-slip earthquakes in Turkey, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter*, 82, 70-84, 1982
- Stover, C W, B G Reagar, and R J Wetmiller, Intensities and isoseismal map for the St. Elias earthquake of February 28, 1979, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 70, 1635-1649, 1980
- Street, R., Ground motion values obtained for the 27 July 1980 Sharpburg, Kentucky, earthquake, *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 78, 1295-1307, 1982
- Strick, E, and F Mainardi, On a general class of constant-Q solids, *Geophys J R Astron Soc*, 69, 415-429, 1982
- Stump, B W, and L R Johnson, Higher degree moment tensors—the importance of source finiteness and rupture propagation on seismograms, *Geophys J R Astron Soc*, 69, 721-743, 1982
- Su, S S, Reply to Acharya's comment on 'Attenuation of intensity with epicentral distance in the Philippines', *Bull Seismol Soc Am*, 71, 923, 1981
- Swager, H J, A stochastic fault model for estimating near-field peak acceleration, *Proc of Workshop XVI The Dynamic Characteristics of Faulting Inferred from Recordings of Strong Ground Motion, 1*, Convoked Lake Tahoe, Calif, Oct 21-23, 1981, *U S Geol Surv Open-File Rep*, 82-591, 71-79, 1982
- Swager, H J, S M Day, J R Murphy, and R Gusman, State-of-the-art study concerning near field earthquake ground motion, *NUREG/CR-1778*, 153 pp, U S Nuclear Reg Commission, Clearinghouse, Springfield, Virginia, 1981
- Swager, H J, J R Murphy, T J Bennett, and R Gusman, State-of-the-art study concerning near field earthquake ground motion—Annual report for period Sept 1978 to Sept 1979, *NUREG/CR-*

- 1840, 148 pp., U. S. Nuclear Reg. Commission, Clearinghouse, Springfield, Virginia, 1980
- Switzer, J. D. Johnson, R. P. Maley, and R. B. Matthiesen (compilers), Western hemisphere strong motion accelerometer station list—1980, *U. S. Geol. Surv. Open-File Rep.*, 81-664, 178 pp., 1981
- Sylvester, A. G., Earthquake damage in Imperial Valley, California May 18, 1940, as reported by T.A. Clark, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 69, 547-568, 1979.
- Takada, S., J. P. Wright, and H. Ohno, Simulation of travelling seismic waves for lifeline engineering, *Proc. of 7th World Conf. on Earthquake Engin.*, Convened Istanbul, Turkey, Sept. 8-13, 1980, 41-48, 1980
- Toro, G. R., Biases in seismic ground motion prediction, *Research Rep. RR1-82*, 133 pp., Dept. of Civil Engin., Mass. Inst. Tech., Cambridge, 1981.
- Trifunac, M. D., Preliminary empirical model for scaling Fourier amplitude spectra of strong ground acceleration in terms of modified Mercalli intensity and recording site conditions, *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 7, 63-74, 1979
- Trifunac, M. D., A note on rotational components of earthquake motions on ground surface for incident body waves, *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engin.*, 1, 11-19, 1982
- Trifunac, M. D., J. G. Anderson, B. D. Westermo, and H. L. Wong, Methods for prediction of strong earthquake ground motion, *NUREG/CR-0689*, U. S. Nuclear Reg. Commission, Clearinghouse, Springfield, Virginia, 1979
- Trifunac, M. D., and V. W. Lee, Automatic digitization and processing of strong motion accelerograms, Part I Automatic digitization, *Rep. 79-151*, 256 pp., Dept. of Civil Engin., Univ. of Southern Calif., Los Angeles, 1979
- Trifunac, M. D., and B. D. Westermo, Duration of strong earthquake shaking, *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engin.*, 1, 117-131, 1982
- Trujillo, D. M., and A. L. Carter, A new approach to the integration of accelerometer data, *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 10, 529-536, 1982.
- Ts'ao, H. S., Statistical analysis of earthquake ground motion parameters, *NUREG/CR-1175*, 197 pp., U. S. Nuclear Reg. Commission, Clearinghouse, Springfield, Virginia, 1979.
- Tsai, C-F, I. Lam, and G. R. Martin, Seismic response of cohesive marine sands, *J. Geotech. Engin. Div., Amer. Soc. Civil Engin.*, 106, 997-1012, 1980.
- Udaka, T., J. Lymer, and H. B. Seed, Dynamic response of horizontally layered systems subjected to traveling seismic waves, *Proc. of the 2nd U. S. Nat. Conf. on Earthquake Engin.*, Convened Stanford Univ., Aug. 22-24, 1979, 593-602, 1979.
- Udwadia, F. E., and H. Miura, A methodology for locating strong motion arrays, *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 8, 1980.
- Uhrhammer, R. A., Observations of the Coyote Lake, California earthquake sequence of August 6, 1979, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 70, 559-570, 1980
- Uhrhammer, R. A., The Pacifica earthquake of 28 April 1979, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 71, 1161-1172, 1981
- VanMarcke, E. H., and S. S. P. Lai, Strong motion duration and RMS amplitude of earthquake records, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 70, 1293-1307, 1980
- Vassiliou, M. S., and H. Kanamori, The energy release in earthquakes, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 72, 371-387, 1982
- Wallace, T. C., D. V. Helmberger, and J. E. Ebel, A broadband study of the 13 August 1978 Santa Barbara earthquake, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 71, 1701-1718, 1981
- Wallace, T. C., D. V. Helmberger, and G. R. Mellman, A technique for the inversion of regional data in source parameter studies, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 86, 1879-1885, 1981
- Wang, G. Y., and R. B. Herrmann, A numerical study of P, SV, and SH wave generation in a plane layered medium, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 70, 1015-1036, 1980.
- Ward, S. N., Relationships of tsunami generation and an earthquake source, *J. Phys. Earth*, 28, 441-474, 1980.
- Ward, S. N., A tsunami nucleation II. An instantaneous modulated line source, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, 27, 273-285, 1982.
- Westermo, B. D., and M. D. Trifunac, Correlations of the frequency dependent duration of strong ground motion with the modified Mercalli intensity and the depth of sediments at the recording site, *Report CE 79-01*, 49 pp., Dept. of Civil Engin., Univ. of Southern Calif., Los Angeles, 1979.
- Wojcik, G. L., Some effects of a surface dipping layer on structure and ground response in earthquakes, *Proc. of the 2nd U. S. Nat. Conf. on Earthquake Engin.*, Convened Stanford Univ., Aug. 22-24, 1979, 1114-1123, 1979
- Wong, H. L., Effect of surface topography on the diffraction of P, SV, and Rayleigh waves, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 78, 1167-1183, 1982
- Wong, H. L., and M. D. Trifunac, A note on an instrumental comparison of the Modified Mercalli (MMI) and the Japanese Meteorological Agency (JMA) intensity scales, based on computed peak accelerations, *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 7, 75-83, 1979a.
- Wong, H. L., and M. D. Trifunac, Generation of artificial strong motion accelerograms, *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 7, 509-527, 1979b
- Wootton, T. M., Strong motion instrumentation program, *Calif. Geol.*, 35, 215-218, 1980
- Wyle, E. B., and R. Henke, Nonlinear soil dynamics by characteristics method, *Proc. of the 2nd U. S. Nat. Conf. on Earthquake Engin.*, Convened Stanford Univ., Aug. 22-24, 1979, 563-572, 1979.
- Ziony, J. I., and U. S. G. Staff, Seismic zonation of the Los Angeles region—a progress report, *Proc. of the Third Int. Conf. on Earthquake Microzonation*, 1, Convened Seattle, Wash., June 28-July 1, 1982, 145-156, 1982
- Zsutty, T. C., and M. D. Herrera, A statistical analysis of accelerogram peaks based upon the exponential distribution model, *Proc. of the 2nd U. S. Nat. Conf. on Earthquake Engin.*, Convened Stanford Univ., Aug. 22-24, 1979, 733-742, 1979

(Received November 23, 1982;
accepted March 10, 1983.)

REVIEWS OF GEOPHYSICS AND SPACE PHYSICS, VOL. 21, NO. 6, PAGES 1318-1332, JULY 1983
U.S. NATIONAL REPORT TO INTERNATIONAL UNION OF GEODESY AND GEOPHYSICS 1979-1982

SEISMIC STUDIES OF CRUSTAL STRUCTURE

Robert A. Phinney and Robert I. Odom

Department of Geological and Geophysical Sciences
Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08540

Introduction

During the past four years, seismology has continued its role as the key technique for determination of the structure of the continental and oceanic crust. By and large, however, the field and analysis methods used bear little resemblance to those of ten years ago. A continued push to increase the number of recording channels has led to the routine use of ocean bottom seismometers, sonobuoys, and multichannel streamers in oceanic studies, and to the use of large sets of portable instruments and multichannel seismic reflection strings on land. In studies using earthquakes as sources, local and regional arrays provide a capability for obtaining geologically useful two- and three-dimensional information about the crust. Growth in computing capacity has proceeded in parallel; a substantial number of university and government research groups have hands-on access to superminicomputers with array processors, and handle data

libraries containing hundreds of reels of tape. Theoretical advances now make it possible to generate synthetic seismograms for all layered models and for a wide class of nonlayered models, for aid in interpretation of data. Techniques of plane wave decomposition and wavefield migration have opened up many new possibilities for the reduction and interpretation of data collected from arrays without aliasing.

In this review we take up crustal seismology in terms of the major field methods:

- 1) Explosion studies on land, for crustal and upper mantle structure, with networks of portable stations, and using refractions and wide angle reflections.
- 2) Deep continental reflection studies, using Vibroseis sources, with dense geophone arrays normally shorter than 10 km, using narrow angle reflections.
- 3) Marine multichannel reflection studies, using an array of airguns and a towed hydrophone streamer normally shorter than 3 km.
- 4) Marine long range studies, similar to (1), using ocean bottom seismometers (OBS), or sonobuoys as receivers, with explosions or airguns as sources.
- 5) Generalized inversion for crust and lithos-

Copyright 1983 by the American Geophysical Union.

Paper number 3R0806.

0034-6853/83/003R-0806\$15.00